SHELL HYDROGEN STUDY
ENERGY OF THE FUTURE?
Sustainable Mobility through Fuel Cells and H₂
INTRODUCTION

ENERGY OF THE FUTURE?

Over the years Shell has produced a number of scenario studies on key energy issues. These have included studies on important energy consumption sectors such as passenger cars and commercial vehicles (lorries and buses) and the supply of energy and heat to private households, as well as studies on the state of and prospects for individual energy sources and fuels, including biofuels, natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas.

Shell has been involved in hydrogen production as well as in research, development and application for decades, with a dedicated business unit, Shell Hydrogen. Now, in cooperation with the Wuppertal Institute in Germany, Shell has conducted a study on hydrogen as a future energy source.

The study looks at the current state of hydrogen supply pathways and hydrogen application technologies and explores the potential and prospects for hydrogen as an energy source in the global energy system of tomorrow. The study focuses on the use of hydrogen in road transport and specifically in fuel cell electric vehicles (FCEVs), but it also examines non-automotive resp. stationary applications.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND KEY QUESTIONS

Shell scenario studies present facts, trends and prospects on specific key energy issues in a compact form. As in the previous Shell studies on passenger cars, commercial vehicles, domestic heating and individual energy sources and fuels, the initial focus is on providing an expert analysis and assessment of a subject.

There has certainly been plenty of discussion and reporting on hydrogen, and it is an exceptionally simple element. At the same time, however, hydrogen is not a familiar product, especially among end users who are accustomed to petrol and electricity. So far, any experience of hydrogen is limited largely to its use as a feed material in chemical production and as a technical gas in industry.

For that reason one of the most important aims of the Shell Hydrogen Study is to provide basic information about the element and about the use of hydrogen as an energy source. The first purpose of the study is to give an overview of the special properties and advantages of hydrogen.

Hydrogen is one of the ten most common elements on the surface of the Earth that is accessible to man. In nature, however, it does not exist in pure form, but rather only as part of a compound. If hydrogen is to be used as an energy source in a future hydrogen economy, then first of all its origin needs to be clarified. Where does hydrogen occur? From which materials and how can it be produced, and using what technical processes? If a future energy economy is to be sustainable, the way in which it is generated is key. So what are the advantages and disadvantages of the various hydrogen supply pathways?

Highly developed energy systems rely increasingly on electricity as a secondary energy source. Electricity has many advantages as an energy source, but some disadvantages too: it can generally only be directly stored in small amounts and for short periods of time, and its transportation is mostly grid-based. Chemical energy storage via hydrogen could represent an alternative or an important supplement to existing energy stores. If hydrogen is to play a part in the energy system of the future, the possibilities for storing and transporting hydrogen need to be analysed.

In the past, debate about the use of hydrogen for motor vehicles and passenger cars in particular, we look at the costs and cost-effectiveness of hydrogen mobility as an important decision-making criterion, as well as the development of a hydrogen supply infrastructure. Finally, since hydrogen-powered vehicles are only viable if they can be operated more sustainably than today’s vehicles, we use scenario techniques to estimate and assess possible energy and environmental balances for future fuel cell passenger car fleets.

AUTHORS AND SOURCES

Shell worked closely with the German research institute and thinktank Wuppertal Institute to produce the Shell Hydrogen Study. Back in 2007, the Wuppertal Institute examined and evaluated the concept of “geological CO₂ storage” as a possible climate policy action for Shell (WI 2007). The Wuppertal Institute researches and develops models, strategies and instruments for transitions to sustainable development. Its work centres on the way in which challenges in terms of resources, climate and energy influence and are influenced by the economy and society. The “Future Energy and Mobility Structures” research group, which was involved in the study, is concerned in particular with the transition to sustainable structures from a technical / structural and systems analytical point of view.

The project leader and coordinator of the Shell Hydrogen Study on behalf of Shell was Dr. Jörg Adolf. The scientific coordinator on behalf of the Wuppertal Institute was Dr. Karin Arnold. She was supported by Andreas Pastawski and Gertmar Schwerer. The work was carried out under the scientific supervision of Professor Manfred Fischbeck.

The following authors at Shell also contributed to the scientific preparation of the study: Dr. Jurgen Louis, regarding technical and scientific questions about hydrogen and fuel cell technology, Uwe Schabla, regarding stationary fuel cell applications, and Dr. Christoph H. Bazar, regarding the preparation of energy and greenhouse gas balances and scenario techniques.

In addition, many other experts, decision makers and stakeholders from science, business and politics were consulted during the preparation of the Shell Hydrogen Study. Shell would like to take this opportunity to thank all concerned for their contribution and cooperation. A selection of relevant data and sources can be found at the end of this study.
1.1 WHAT IS HYDROGEN?

The name “hydrogen” (“water producer”) was first coined in 1787 by the French chemist Antoine Laurent de Lavoisier, from the Greek words “hydr” (water) and “genes” (producing). It had earlier been called “inflammable air” by the English chemist and physicist Henry Cavendish because of its high flammability. The German name “Wasserstoff” (“water substance”) likewise refers to its water producing properties.

Hydrogen (chemical symbol H for the Latin name hydrogenium) is the first element in the periodic table and also the simplest. Ordinary hydrogen consists of a positively charged nucleus (proton) and a negatively charged electron. Hydrogen has the lowest atomic weight of any element at 1.008 grams per mol (g/mol); atomic hydrogen is 12 times lighter than carbon (C), 14 times lighter than nitrogen (N) and 16 times lighter than oxygen (O).

In addition to ordinary or light hydrogen (H, protium), there are also two other hydrogen atoms (isotopes): heavy hydrogen (D, deuterium) and super-heavy hydrogen (T, tritium), with additional neutrons. As the neutron in the hydrogen nucleus is not bound by its own mass, the mass of a hydrogen atom can be determined from the distribution of the elements and the isotopic ratio. Under standard conditions hydrogen exists as an equilibrium mixture. Under standard conditions, hydrogen has a higher energy content than para-hydrogen (p-H₂). In addition, their technical and physical properties differ slightly. Under prevailing thermodynamic conditions ortho- and para-hydrogen form an equilibrium mixture. Under standard conditions hydrogen exists as a 75:25 mixture of o- and p-hydrogen, while cryogenic hydrogen consists almost entirely of para-hydrogen. The conversion of o- to p-hydrogen is an exothermic chemical reaction in which energy is released. Therefore, even if cryogenic liquid hydrogen is completely isolated, evaporation occurs unless all o-H₂ is converted into p-H₂ (Hollemann/Wiberg 2007). In the rest of this study the term “hydrogen” will mostly be used as a synonym for the H₂ molecule.

1.2 WHERE DOES HYDROGEN OCCUR?

Hydrogen is the first and most important element in the universe. Its estimated mass fraction is in the order of 75%. In the early universe, some 13.8 billion years ago, hydrogen nuclei were formed by fusion at extremely high temperatures (nucleosynthesis). In the hot interior of stars, the subsequent stellar fusion of hydrogen to helium, also known as “hydrogen burning,” is the most important and relevant source of energy in their life cycle. The age of a star can be determined from the distribution of the elements and the stellar mass.

Space is filled with highly diluted hydrogen and also contains gigantic gas clouds consisting of hydrogen. The sun, which is around 4.6 billion years old, is a so-called main sequence star, which releases its radiant energy from hydrogen burning. Hydrogen is also the most frequently occurring chemical element on the giant gas planets (Jupiter, Saturn) of our solar system.

Unlike in outer space, the proportion of hydrogen in the elements on Earth is much smaller. The part of the Earth that is accessible to humans makes up less than 1% of the Earth’s mass. In the region of the Earth’s crust, oceans and atmosphere, the mass fraction of hydrogen is just 0.9% (Mortimer/Müller 2010). The proportion of hydrogen in the Earth’s atmosphere is only 0.05 parts per million (ppm).

Furthermore, hydrogen on Earth exists only rarely in its pure form; in most cases it is found in chemically bonded form. The largest proportion of hydrogen on Earth occurs as a compound with oxygen, in the form of water or water vapour. Corresponding to the relative atomic masses of hydrogen and oxygen, water (H₂O) consists of approximately 11.2% percent by weight of hydrogen; in other words, the mass ratio of hydrogen to oxygen is around 1:8.

Moreover, hydrogen occurs in almost all organic compounds. It is not only living creatures that are composed of organic compounds. Fossil energy sources also consist primarily of carbon-hydrogen compounds. For example, the hydrocarbon methane, the main constituent of natural gas, is made up of one carbon atom and four hydrogen atoms (CH₄). By contrast, in higher alkanes such as petrol and diesel fuel the carbon-hydrogen ratio is around 1:2, and in coal it is only around 1:1. The higher the hydrogen content of a hydrocarbon, the lower the carbon dioxide content and hence the lower the greenhouse gas emissions on combustion (oxidation).

<< In the beginning, there was hydrogen >>

Holmar von Ditfurth 1972
Almost since its discovery, hydrogen has played an important part in contemporary visions of the future, especially in relation to the energy industry and locomotion. As early as 1874, the French science fiction writer Jules Verne (1828 – 1905) in his novel “L’île mystérieuse” (The Mysterious Island) saw hydrogen and oxygen as the energy sources of the future. In his vision, hydrogen would be obtained by the breaking down of water (via electrolysis). Water, resp. hydrogen, would replace coal, which at the time was the dominant energy source in the energy supply industry.

In the 1960s, the successful use of hydrogen as a rocket propellant and of fuel cells to operate auxiliary power units in space – especially in the context of the US Saturn/Apollo space travel programme – provided further impetus to the fantasies surrounding hydrogen. Also in the 1960s, first passenger cars were fitted with fuel cells as basic prototypes resp. technology demonstrators.

During the 1970s, under the impression of dwindling and even more expensive fossil fuels, the concept of a (solar) hydrogen economy was developed, with H2 as the central energy carrier. Since the 1990s, hydrogen and fuel cells have made huge technical progress in the mobility sector.

After the turn of the century, not least against the background of renewed global raw material shortages and increasingly urgent questions of sustainability, the prospects for a hydrogen economy were considered once again (Rifkin 2002).

More recently, the focus has increasingly been on hydrogen’s role in a national and global energy transition. Within this context, the value added of hydrogen (from renewable energies via electrolysis) in an increasingly electrified energy world has also been subject to discussion. Nevertheless, an important role is envisaged for hydrogen – especially as a clean, storable and transportable energy store – in an electricity-based energy future (Nitsch 2003; Ball/Waischel 2009).
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1.3 PROPERTIES OF HYDROGEN

Under normal or standard conditions, hydrogen is a colourless and odourless gas. Hydrogen is non-toxic and is not causing environmental damage – in that respect it is environmentally neutral. In terms of the properties of substances, a distinction is made between physical and chemical properties. Physical properties are determined by measurement and experimentation, while chemical properties are observed by means of chemical reactions.

One of the most important chemical properties of energy sources is the behaviour of the substance when it is burned (exothermic behaviour), either in a hot conversion process or by cold electrochemical combustion. Physical and chemical properties of substances influence both the use and usefulness of a substance and the way in which it is handled; that applies in particular also to the safe handling and storage of energy sources such as hydrogen.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Hydrogen – by which both here and below we mean dihydrogen or equilibrium hydrogen mixtures (H2) – exists in gaseous form under normal conditions. For a long time hydrogen was believed to be a permanent gas, which cannot be converted into either of the other two states of aggregation, i.e. liquid or solid (Hoffmann/Wierberg 2007).

In fact its boiling point is very low, at –252.76°C; this point is close to the absolute zero temperature of -273.15°C and corresponds to 20.3 Kelvin (K) on the absolute temperature scale. Below this temperature hydrogen is liquid under normal pressure of 1.013 bar, above this point it is gaseous.

The state of aggregation is dependent not only on temperature, however, but also on pressure. Gases can thus also be liquefied by raising the pressure. However, there is a critical temperature above which a gas can no longer be liquefied, no matter how high the pressure. In the case of hydrogen, the critical temperature is –239.96°C or 33.15 K under 13 bar. If hydrogen is to be liquefied, its temperature must be below this point.

Similarly, once it reaches a sufficiently high pressure, a gas can no longer be liquefied, even by lowering the temperature further. This pressure is known as the critical pressure, and for hydrogen it is 13.1 bar.

The critical pressure and critical temperature characterise the critical point of a substance. For hydrogen the critical point is approximately -240°C or 33.15 K and 13 bar. At the critical point a substance is liquid and gas phase merge. At the same time, the critical point marks the upper and of the vapour-pressure curve in the pressure-temperature phase diagram. The critical density at the critical point is 31 grams per litre (g/l).

The melting point, at which H2 changes from the liquid to the solid state of aggregation, is –259.19°C or 13.9 K under normal pressure and is thus slightly lower again than the boiling point. This means that only the noble gas helium has lower boiling and melting points than hydrogen.

The triple or three phase point of a substance is the point in the phase diagram at which all three states of aggregation are in thermodynamic equilibrium; for hydrogen this point is at –250.19°C and 0.077 bar. The triple point is also the lowest point of the vapour-pressure curve. The vapour-pressure curve indicates pressure-temperature combinations at which the gas and liquid phases of hydrogen are in equilibrium. To the left of the vapour-pressure curve hydrogen is liquid, to the right it is gaseous. To the right of and above the critical point, hydrogen becomes a supercritical fluid, which is neither gaseous nor liquid. Compared with that of methane, the vapour-pressure curve of hydrogen is very steep and short – over a small temperature and pressure range. As a consequence, liquefaction takes place primarily by cooling and less so by compression. By contrast, the compressed storage of hydrogen (at 350 or 700 bar) always takes place as a supercritical fluid.

In connection with temperature and pressure changes, a special feature of hydrogen that has to be taken into consideration is its negative Joule-Thomson coefficient when air expands under normal conditions, it cools down – an effect which is used in the liquefaction of gases, specifically in the Hampson-Linde cycle for the cryocooling of gases. Hydrogen behaves quite differently; it heats up when it is throttled. Only below its inversion temperature of 202 K (approx. –71°C) does hydrogen demonstrate a “normal” Joule-Thomson effect. By contrast, for the main constituents of air, nitrogen and oxygen, the inversion temperature is 62 K and 76 K respectively.

Density is a physical quantity that is defined by the ratio of mass per volume. Gases have a very low density in
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Hydrogen (H) is the first element in the periodic table of modern chemistry and is also the smallest, lightest atom. Pure hydrogen occurs on Earth only in molecular form (H₂). Hydrogen on Earth is usually found in compounds, most notably as water molecules (H₂O).

Hydrogen has long been regarded as an energy carrier of the future. It is also discussed as the foundation of a sustainable hydrogen economy.

Mixture too lean
Mixture too rich

### CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

**Flammability**

The characteristic property of hydrogen is its excellent flammability. Due to its chemical properties, hydrogen has to be handled with care.

**Storage and Transport**

Hydrogen has a high buoyancy in the open air. Hydrogen, with a density of 1.29 g/l, is around 14 times heavier than gaseous methane, which has a density of 70.79 g/l. As hydrogen has a very low density, it is usually stored under pressure. Liquefaction increases its density by a factor of 800.

**Hydrogen Economy**

Hydrogen is the most common substance in the universe and the richest energy source for stars. Hydrogen (H₂) is the first element in the periodic table of modern chemistry and is also the smallest, lightest atom. Pure hydrogen occurs on Earth only in molecular form (H₂).

Recently, the interest in hydrogen as a future energy carrier has increased significantly. The hydrogen economy is discussed as a possible solution to the energy crisis and climate change. Hydrogen can be used as a primary energy carrier, or it can be used in conjunction with other energy carriers, such as electricity or nuclear energy. Hydrogen can be produced from various sources, including fossil fuels, nuclear energy, and renewable energy sources such as wind, solar, and bioenergy.

### IN SUMMARY

- Hydrogen is the most common substance in the universe and the richest energy source for stars.
- Hydrogen (H₂) is the first element in the periodic table of modern chemistry and is also the smallest, lightest atom.
- Pure hydrogen occurs on Earth only in molecular form (H₂).
- Hydrogen on Earth is usually found in compounds, most notably as water molecules (H₂O).
- Hydrogen has long been regarded as an energy carrier of the future.
- It is also discussed as the foundation of a sustainable hydrogen economy.

### 2 SUPPLY PATHWAYS

Hydrogen can be produced from various sources, including fossil fuels, nuclear energy, and renewable energy sources such as wind, solar, and bioenergy. Depending on the production method, the hydrogen product gas that is obtained includes undesired substances (such as carbon monoxide, CO) and impurities; this applies especially to the thermochemical and biochemical methods. Depending on the intended use the product gas has to undergo a subsequent purification; in some cases the raw materials for the hydrogen production also have to be prepared.

The processes for producing hydrogen are described in more detail below, followed by an analysis of the energy and greenhouse gas emissions balances for the

### 3 SHARE OF PRIMARY ENERGY CARRIERS IN GLOBAL HYDROGEN PRODUCTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Carrier</th>
<th>Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hydrogen naturally only exists in (chemically) bound form, so it has to be produced by means of specific processes in order to be used for chemical or energy purposes. A number of suitable processes are available and are in use today. Most of today’s global hydrogen production is based on fossil energy sources (see figure 5).

Only a small proportion of hydrogen is produced by electrolysis, the electricity for which currently stems from a variety of sources. For the future it can be assumed that hydrogen production from electrolysis will rise significantly if surplus electricity from renewable energies becomes increasingly available.

Figure 6 shows the basic process stages for industrial hydrogen production. For the most important processes various raw materials can be used without fundamental changes to the process. Hydrogen production processes include steam reforming, currently the most important production process, as well as partial oxidation, autothermal reforming and gasification of solid fuels. In addition, the electrolysis of water with electricity from various sources and the use of industrial “residual hydrogen” is considered.
6 PROCESSES FOR PRODUCING HYDROGEN

**PRIMARY ENERGY**
- Natural Gas
- Coal
- Biomass
- Vegetable Oils

**SECONDARY ENERGY**
- Electricity
- Bioethanol
- Biogas

**CONVERSION**
- Steam reforming
- Partial oxidation
- Autothermal reforming

**INTERMEDIATE PRODUCT**
- Synthesis gas

**FINAL ENERGY CARRIER**
- Hydrogen

**THERMOCHEMICAL CONVERSION**
- Steam methane reforming
- Partial oxidation
- Autothermal reforming

**biochemical conversion**
- Biomethane from sewage sludge
- Biomethane from biogas

**Electrolysis**

** DIFFERENT SUPPLY PATHWAYS**
- Natural Gas
- Biomass
- Vegetable Oils
- Coal

**PROCESSES FOR PRODUCING HYDROGEN**

**Steam reforming**
- Hydrocarbons and alcohols are converted to hydrogen and carbon oxides by the following chemical reaction:
  \[
  C + H_2O \rightarrow CO + 3 H_2
  \]
- The product gas contains mainly CO, H\(_2\), and N\(_2\), which is later converted to pure H\(_2\) by a water gas shift reaction. The purity of the final product gas is further increased by subsequent CO\(_2\) washing and other purification steps.

**Partial oxidation**
- Partial oxidation is a method of converting heavy hydrocarbons directly to hydrogen and carbon monoxide. The product gas contains CO, H\(_2\), and CO\(_2\). The process comprises the following main steps:
  1. **Feedstock preparation**: The feedstock is dried and then gasified in a reactor with hot steam, forming a synthesis gas.
  2. **Cooling and purification**: The synthesis gas is cooled and purified to remove any remaining impurities.
  3. **Hydrogen separation**: The purified gas is then separated into hydrogen and carbon monoxide.

**Autothermal reforming**
- In this process, a mixture of steam and fuel is reacted to produce hydrogen and carbon monoxide. The reaction is exothermic and can be represented by the following equation:
  \[
  C + H_2O \rightarrow CO + H_2
  \]
- The carbon monoxide content is further reduced through other chemical conversion processes such as CO hydrogenation and selective CO oxidation. The purity of the product gas is further increased by subsequent CO\(_2\) washing and other purification steps.

**GASIFICATION**
- Gasification is a process of converting solid biomass into a gas mixture containing hydrogen, carbon dioxide, and carbon monoxide. The gas mixture is called syngas. The gasification process is divided into two main steps:
  1. **Pre-gasification**: The biomass is subjected to thermal treatment, typically in the presence of oxygen or steam, to produce a gasification agent such as syngas or hydrogen gas.
  2. **Gas cleaning and purification**: The syngas is cleaned and purified to remove impurities, resulting in a gas mixture suitable for use as a fuel.

**CONCLUSION**
- The production of hydrogen from different sources involves various processes, each with its own advantages and disadvantages. The choice of process depends on factors such as available feedstock, environmental considerations, and economic feasibility.
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High-pressure gasification of biomass is complicated by the fact that waste wood tends to be contaminated with stones or nails, which can damage the pressure vessel. For that reason, wood gasification according to (JCC 2014) is usually carried out at normal pressure, which has a detrimental impact on the efficiency. The alternative is to use pure forest wood, which reduces the potential for hydrogen production.

Alongside the gasification of solid biomass, however, it is also possible to reform biogenic secondary energy sources or to convert them to hydrogen by partial oxidation. Suitable secondary energy sources include biogas or biomethane (produced by anaerobic fermentation) or bioethanol (produced by alcoholic fermentation). All types of moist, green biomass are suitable in principle for producing biogas by fermentation (Kalschmit et al. 2016). Biogas and biomethane processed to natural gas quality can be used directly in the reformer and converted to hydrogen in the same way as natural gas. In the case of biogas, if need be, a preliminary desulphurisation stage is performed.

The use of vegetable oils, biodiesel or bioglycerol (produced by biochemical methods) is also possible. Liquid secondary energy sources such as bioethanol, biodiesel, vegetable oils or glycerol sometimes require adjustments to the reformer feed (HyNOW 2012).

In particular with respect to the use of secondary energies it should be noted that each additional conversion stage is associated with losses, which adversely affects the overall balance of the product.

### BIOCHEMICAL PRODUCTION

The biochemical production of hydrogen from biomass using bacteria is also possible. In this case the biomass can likewise be fermented and processed further, or alternatively water can be split into oxygen and hydrogen by biophotolysis (HyNOW 2012). There are a number of methods available for converting sugar and starch and lignocellulose from biomass into hydrogen. They are based on the use of various microorganisms and (with the exception of one process) draw at least some of the energy they need from sunlight. The most relevant methods are dark fermentation using haterotrophic bacteria, photofermentation using photosynthetic bacteria, and biophotolytic splitting of water using green algae or cyanobacteria.

Common to all biochemical methods is the fact that at present they exist only on a laboratory scale and are performed in very small amounts. Market maturity is not yet in sight, since conversion rates and hydrogen yields are still well below a marketable threshold and therefore still need to be increased considerably.

With the exception of the biophotolytic process, all biogas hydrogen production methods require significant volumes of biomass. This could lead to restrictions for large-scale production, since biomass is a renewable raw material whose potential and availability are limited by various requirements, regarding sustainability for example, but also by competing uses (Fritsche et al. 2012; Kalschmit et al. 2016).

The charge carriers move in the electrolyte towards the anode. At the anode (positive pole) the electrons are absorbed by the negative OH- anions. The OH- anions are oxidised to form water and oxygen. Oxygen rises at the anode. A membrane prevents the product gases H2 and O2 from mixing but allows the passage of OH- ions.

\[
2 \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2 e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 + 2e^- \\
2 \text{OH}^- + 2 \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2
\]

The efficiency of electrolysis is determined by the amount of electricity used to produce an amount of hydrogen. Depending on the method used, the efficiency of water electrolysis is currently in the region of 60 to 80% (based on the calorific value).

Electrolysers consist of individual cells and central system units (balance of plant). By combining electrolytic cells and stacks, hydrogen production can be adapted to individual needs.

Electrolysers are differentiated by the electrolyte materials and the temperature at which they are operated: low-temperature electrolysis (LITE), including alkaline electrolysis (AEM) and proton exchange membrane (PEM) electrolysis and anion exchange membrane (AEM) electrolysis (also known as alkaline PEM), and high-temperature electrolysis (HTE). The latter group most notably includes solid oxide electrolysis (SOE), but this is still at an advanced R&D stage and products are not yet commercially available. Once it reaches market maturity, its advantages are expected to include increased conversion efficiency and the possibility of producing a synthesis gas directly from steam and CO2, for use in various applications such as synthetic liquid fuels (E4tech 2014; IEA 2015b).

Low-temperature electrolysis is currently available on the market, and AE is the clear market leader here, accounting for most of the installed capacity worldwide. PEM electrolysis has been commercially available since the beginning of the 21st century, whereas AEM has only just appeared on the market. Table 8 shows some characteristic features of the various electrolysis methods.

Research priorities with regard to electrolysis currently include increasing the efficiency of the electrolysis system as a whole, along with its operating life, power density and stack size, reducing costs (especially material costs), introducing pressurised systems to avoid the need for subsequent compression of the H2 produced, and not least developing flexible systems adapted to intermittent and fluctuating power supply.

The economic attractiveness of hydrogen production by electrolysis is very much dependent on electricity prices. At today’s energy prices, electrolysis is more expensive than steam reforming (Schiller 2012). Ultimately, producing hydrogen by electrolysis requires an inexpensive electricity supply, and in particular surplus renewable electricity. By contrast, if electricity generated by a natural gas power station is used for electrolysis, the reduced efficiency of the overall process chain has to be taken into account: converting natural gas to electricity is hydrogen associated with greater losses than the direct conversion of natural gas to hydrogen.

### ELECTROLYSERS AS A PRODUCT

The high level of interest in hydrogen as a by-product of industry production processes (“industrial residual hydrogen”), especially for use in FCEVs, can be traced back to the time when, in and around the 1980s, work on fuel cell vehicles for rail vehicles increased and there was intense discussion surrounding “hydrogen as transportation fuel”. The potential of industrial hydrogen for the use of early demonstration fleets of fuel cell vehicles was assessed in a number of studies. Residual hydrogen from industry was intended to serve as an entry point until renewable generated hydrogen was widely available. Furthermore, the industrial distribution infrastructure was regarded as a basis or stepping stone for a universal hydrogen supply system. At the time, quite significant amounts of hydrogen as a by-product of various industrial operations were being released into the atmosphere rather than being integrated into other production processes (WI/Covestro 2015). Since then, however, energy prices in Germany and Europe have risen, and the industries concerned are now keen either to integrate the accruing hydrogen into their processes or, if that is not possible, to find customers willing to pay a good price for it.

A number of studies have sought to quantify the amount of industrial residual hydrogen available. The EU project “Roads 2 HyCom” (Maisonier et al. 2007) produced among other results a map showing hydrogen production sites in Europe. In this map the hydrogen sources were broken down into three categories: the “merchant” category supplies hydrogen to other industrial customers, while the “captive”
In the course of the energy transition, the proportion of renewable energies in electricity generation has risen markedly. Wind power and photovoltaics have seen the greatest expansion. However, the availability of these intermittent and non-dispatchable renewable energies (variable renewable energies, VREs) fluctuates over time. At the same time, because of its physical properties, supplying electricity requires a constant balancing of supply and demand.

If the proportion of renewable energies exceeds roughly one-quarter of electricity generation, special/additional measures are necessary in order to integrate fluctuating renewable energy sources. Otherwise it may be necessary to limit the production or use of renewable energies.

Alongside other demand and supply measures, energy storage can play an important part in improved system integration. Until now, pumped-storage hydro power plants have dominated electricity storage capacity – although they account for less than 3% of global electricity generation. Short-term electricity storage in batteries for electric vehicles can be the hydrogen can be converted back into electricity via fuel cells (Power-to-Power).

Other concepts include: using hydrogen from renewable energies (“green hydrogen”) in fuel refineries (Power-to-Gas) or for fuel production by means of synthesis into liquid fuels (Power-to-Liquids) and using the generated hydrogen as a basic chemical (Power-to-Chemicals, Power-to-Plastics). Power-to-X is currently still in the research and development stage. Various projects explore fundamental questions of feasibility and economic viability (BMV 2014; Graf et al. 2014; Sundmacher 2014; Zuberbühler et al. 2014).

One disadvantage of Power-X concepts is, undoubtedly, the large number of conversion steps: This leads to overall low efficiencies along the entire supply and use pathways (IEA 2015b). On the other hand, hydrogen as an energy storage medium and/or its potential for conversion in further energy carriers allows for an accelerated expansion and use of surplus renewable energies. Not least for that reason, an important role has been given to hydrogen as an energy carrier and to Power-X supply and use pathways towards a greenhouse gas neutral energy industry (UBA 2014).

## 2.5 COMPARISON OF SUPPLY PATHWAYS

The previous sections of this chapter introduced various hydrogen production technologies. These technologies can be differentiated not only in terms of the energy sources used (fossil or renewable, for example) but also in terms of the size and location of the production unit depending on demand and on the supply strategy. Hydrogen is generated decentralised in small plants directly at the point of use or in large centralised plants and subsequently transported by pipeline or by road to the dispensing stations.

In practice there will also be combinations of centralised and decentralised production, in regional supply for example, but for simplification reasons they are not described here. Thermal conversion from the fossil fuels coal, oil and above all natural gas still dominates. As part of the process of decarbonising energy production and energy consumption, the role of fossil fuels, especially coal, is being reduced. In fact, the specific greenhouse gas emissions from hydrogen generated by coal gasification are more than twice the ones from hydrogen produced by natural gas reforming (JEC 2014). In the long term, thermal conversion will increasingly be superseded by electrolysis (using electricity from renewable energies).

For that reason, this section examines only two main hydrogen production pathways: steam reforming from natural gas and electrolysis. No further consideration is given to supply pathways based on coal (and heavy fuel oil). Energy and greenhouse gas balances are considered for the selected pathways and their variants and production costs are estimated.

The energy and greenhouse gas balances for the above-mentioned hydrogen production pathways are presented and analysed with reference to JEC 2014. JEC 2014 contains energy and greenhouse gas balances for a large number of energy and fuels pathways. The data is updated regularly and forms an acknowledged basis for analysing energy sources and fuels in the European context. According to JEC 2014 the primary energy share (subdivided into fossil, nuclear and renewable energy sources) and the resulting greenhouse gas emissions for each conversion stage and transport mode are calculated and mapped. The results show for each pathway the specific energy efficiency (in MJ) primary energy (MJ hydrogen produced) and the associated specific greenhouse gas intensity (g CO₂ equivalent/MJ hydrogen produced), where CO₂ equivalent is referred to below as CO₂.
In terms of greenhouse gas emissions the reforming pathways represent an average value which does not vary substantially according to the origin of the natural gas or the type of import (as Compressed Natural Gas, CNG, or pipeline or in liquefied form as LNG, Liquefied Natural Gas). The greenhouse gas intensity can be reduced significantly by adding processed biogas, so-called biomethane, which has similar properties to natural gas. However, this is very much dependent on the origin and the type of the raw materials from which it is produced. The use of biomethane derived from municipal waste results in significantly lower greenhouse gas emissions than biomethane based on energy crops or slurry (DBFZ 2014). The addition of biomethane to natural gas and its use in hydrogen production generally occurs as a balance sheet calculation rather than by physically transporting the biomethane to the reforming plant.

Even more relevant than the type of gas used for reforming is the greenhouse gas intensity of the electricity used for electrolysis. In terms of the carbon footprint, the EU electricity mix pathway and the electrolysis from renewable energies pathway differ by a factor of 17.

If solely renewable electricity is used, the hydrogen that is produced is almost emission-free, with around 13 g CO₂/MJ H₂. On the other hand, if the average European electricity mix is used for electrolysis, the greenhouse gas emissions produced are some 2.2 times higher than in natural gas reforming.

Therefore, if hydrogen is to be made available sustainably and on a large scale, only electrolysis using electricity generated from renewable energy sources offers the possibility of providing a low CO₂ footprint. However, if a reliable supply of larger amounts of electricity is needed for the transport sector, surpluss renewable electricity is no longer sufficient for hydrogen production. Rather, the required electricity must be produced specifically for that purpose.

The scale of the transition of electricity generation that is needed shows a comparison: if hydrogen is to be produced by electrolysis from a partially decarbonised electricity grid with the same greenhouse gas intensity as for the natural gas reforming pathway, specific greenhouse gas emissions from the electricity that is used must be around 150 g CO₂/MJ electricity. Compared with the current levels of approximately 56 g CO₂/MJ electricity, the grid greenhouse gas intensity would therefore have to be reduced by approximately two-thirds.

The values for the selected hydrogen production pathways are taken from the JEC study (JEC 2014) and reflect the situation across Europe. It is assumed that these values also apply to Germany. There are differences between the EU and Germany, especially in regard to the electricity mix. As a consequence of the high proportion of coal used in its production, the German electricity mix has a higher CO₂ intensity. However, the conclusions that can be drawn are not fundamentally different.

There are also similar programmes and resulting studies in other regions of the world, for example in California and other states of the USA. The Wall-to-Wheel emissions for typical hydrogen production pathways were analysed by the Argonne National Laboratory in the “Greenhouse gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy use in Transportation” model (GREET 2015). The values are in the same order of magnitude as those from the JEC study and therefore support the conclusions set out here.

The pathways in question are centralised and decentralised natural gas reforming, centralised and decentralised electrolysis of (wind) electricity, and centralised and decentralised biomass gasification and reforming. The analysis has been supplemented with the data from the JEC study (JEC 2015).

Finally, the timeline of the data should be taken into consideration, most of the studies that were analysed quote data from methods that had been implemented at the time of publication. Based on personal information from the authors, these values are still up-to-date. Therefore they are reproduced in figure 12 as the current status. The cost data from the cited studies are summarised in this figure. This has been done by calculating a weighted average, while the deviation from the minimum or maximum value is shown in the shaded bars. In addition, three of the studies indicate costs for the years 2020 (or 2019) and 2030 in two different scenarios; where available these are shown in yellow in the figure.

It is obvious that the range of production costs from centralised natural gas reforming is narrow. Production costs of between 1 and 2 EUR per kilogram of hydrogen (average 1.4 EUR/kg) can therefore be regarded as very probable. The variations in decentralised reforming are much higher.

The same is true for electrolysis: the spread of costs for centralised plants is smaller than for decentralised plants. One reason for this may be that decentralised plants are frequently not used at optimum capacity, and the variations in utilisation have an even greater impact on production costs than they do in a centralised plant.

According to these figures, hydrogen from centralised and decentralised electrolysis plants can be produced with production costs ranging from almost 6 to 10 EUR/kg H₂ (for the centralised plant) to nearly 8 to 12 EUR/kg H₂ (for decentralised electrolysis). Another key input variable, along with capacity utilisation and full load hours achieved, is the electricity price, which in the considered references varies between 6.5 and 10 EUR cents/kWh.

The production costs for the centralised biomass-based pathways, at an average of around 3.3 EUR/kg H₂, up to a maximum of 7.4 EUR/kg H₂, lie somewhere between those for natural gas reforming and electrolysis. Here too the dependency on biomass production costs should be noted, depending on what sustainability requirements are implemented, these costs could rise sharply in future if sustainable biomass as a resource becomes scarcer. In the short to medium term outlook (2020 and 2030), the data situation becomes much sparser. For decentralised natural gas reforming, only one set of cost data is available, with no spread. For the biomass pathways the
IN SUMMARY

Hydrogen can be produced from a large number of primary energy sources and by various technical processes. The most important primary energy source for hydrogen production today is natural gas, with a share of 70%, followed by oil, coal and electricity (as a secondary energy). Steam reforming (from natural gas) is the most important method of hydrogen production. Electrolysis from electricity currently accounts for around 5% of global hydrogen production. In addition, a small amount of unused residual hydrogen, generated as a by-product of industrial production processes, is (still) available.

The importance of renewable energies in hydrogen production is still low, although it will increase in future. Electrolysis from renewable electricity is seen as offering huge potential for the future. Hydrogen can also be produced from biomass, provided that there is a sufficient sustainable biomass potential.

3 STORAGE & TRANSPORTATION

A major advantage of hydrogen is that it can be produced from (surplus) renewable energies, and unlike electricity it can also be stored in large amounts for extended periods of time. For this reason, hydrogen produced on an industrial scale could play an important part in the energy transition. As a chemical energy store, hydrogen could act as means of sector coupling in integrated energy schemes.

3.1 STORAGE

The way in which an energy carrier is stored is greatly influenced by its energy content. The energy content of an energy source is determined by its calorific value or more precisely by its lower and higher heating value.

The lower heating value (LHV) is defined as the amount of usable heat that is released in a (theoretically) complete combustion. The higher heating value (HHV) additionally takes into account the heat of condensation contained in the water vapour, although this cannot be used by motor vehicles.

The calorific or heating value is a specific quantity and is usually based on the mass of the energy source, so it is stated in MJ/kg or kWh/kg, for example. Using the density (kg/l), the mass-based calorific value can also be converted into a volumetric energy density, which is then stated in MJ/l or kWh/l. Figure 1.3 shows the gravimetric and volumetric energy densities of hydrogen and other gaseous and liquid energy carriers and fuels.

Owing to its physical and chemical properties, the logistics costs (i.e. storage and transportation) for hydrogen are higher than those for other energy sources (such as liquid fuels). This chapter provides an overview of storage technologies for hydrogen as an energy carrier. It then looks at transport options in connection with the corresponding storage methods.

It can be seen that hydrogen as an energy carrier has by far the highest gravimetric energy density (lower heating value), at 120.1 MJ/kg. The higher heating value (not shown in figure 13) is even as high as 141.88 MJ/kg. The mass-based energy density (HHV) of hydrogen is thus almost three times higher than that of liquid hydrocarbons.

However, the volumetric energy density of hydrogen is comparatively low. Under ambient conditions the volume is almost on the zero-line, at just 0.01 MJ/l. Therefore, for practical handling purposes, the density of hydrogen must be increased significantly for storage purposes.

The most important hydrogen storage methods, which have been tried and tested over lengthy periods of time, include physical storage methods based on either compression or cooling or a combination of the two (hybrid storage). In addition, a large number of other new hydrogen storage technologies are being pursued or investigated. These technologies can be grouped together under the name materials-based storage technologies. These can include solids, liquids or surfaces. Figure 14 shows an overview of the available hydrogen storage methods. As yet only physical storage by compression and liquefaction have any commercial relevance.

PHYSICAL HYDROGEN STORAGE

Physical storage methods are the most mature and the most frequently used. A distinction is made between high-pressure storage and cooled hydrogen storage. As hydrogen has to be cooled down to very low temperatures in order to liquefy, the term cryogenic hydrogen storage is also used. Finally, if compression and cooling are combined, this is also referred to as hybrid storage.

High-pressure storage

Compressed Gaseous Hydrogen, CGH₂

From production through intermediate storage and on to distribution to the end user, hydrogen is handled at different gas pressures. A low-pressure storage tank operates at just 30 bar. For intermediate storage in high-pressure tanks or gas cylinders, pressures of up to 1,000 bar are technically possible. Only special solid steel or steel composite pressure vessels...
are suitable for high-pressure storage (emobil bw 2015; IEA NRW 2013). When it comes to the industrial storage of hydrogen, salt caverns, exhausted oil and gas fields or aquifers can be used as underground storage, although being more expensive, cavern storage facilities are most suitable for hydrogen storage. Underground stores have been used for many years for natural gas and crude oil/oil products, which are stored in bulk to balance seasonal supply/demand fluctuations or for crisis preparedness (IEA 2015b). To date, operational experience of hydrogen storage caverns exists only on a regional level. In the USA and Europe (IEA 2015b). In particular, the underground natural gas stores in Europe and North America could potentially be used as large reservoirs for hydrogen generated from surplus renewable energies. However, only a relatively small proportion of these are storage caverns; the most prominent and common form of underground storage consists of depleted gas reservoirs. In addition, the natural gas stores are unevenly distributed at a regional level. End users, by contrast, require a more compact form of storage. According to the Boyle–Mariotte gas law, the volume of an ideal gas at a given temperature behaves proportionally to the pressure, that is, if pressure is doubled, the volume is halved. That relationship also applies in principle to real gases. That is why hydrogen is cooled first. Depending on how much the hydrogen is cooled, it is referred to as cold-compressed hydrogen (above 150 K) or cryo-compressed hydrogen (CCh2). Cryo-compressed hydrogen is cooled to temperatures close to the critical temperature, but it still remains gaseous. The cooled hydrogen is then compressed (US DOE 2006; BMW 2012). CCh2 is a further development of hydrogen storage for mobility purposes. FUEL TANKS FOR FUEL CELL ELECTRIC VEHICLES

The efficient storage of hydrogen is regarded as an important precondition for the spread of fuel cell technology in the transport sector. Since hydrogen has a low volumetric energy density, in motor vehicles it is usually carried in compressed form in pressurised cylinders. 700 bar is now the standard for passenger cars. By contrast, the storage space in buses (on the roof) is less constrained than in passenger cars. Therefore buses can use high-pressure storage tanks at 350 bar.

Fuel cell passenger cars currently have a range of around 500 km or more than 300 miles. With the current vehicle technology, this requires around 4 to 6 kg of hydrogen, depending on the vehicle, driving style and driving conditions. A passenger car needs a tank capacity of around 100 to 150 litres to store 4 to 6 kg of hydrogen at 700 bar. The petrol tanks on modern compact and mid-size cars have a capacity of 50 to 60 litres, while executive cars and light commercial vehicles carry 70 to 80 litres of fuel.

The first field installations are already in operation. It is not yet clear whether CCh2 will develop into the new storage standard for road transport. The advantage of cold or cryogenic compression is a higher energy density in comparison to compressed hydrogen. However, cooling requires an additional energy input.
There are thus clear differences between the physical storage methods in terms of the storage density achieved (figure 15). The highest densities, at 80 and 82 g H₂/l, respectively, are achieved by supercritical cryo-compressed hydrogen (CH₂C) and a 50.50 liquid/solid mix of liquid hydrogen (LH₂) – albeit at cryogenic temperatures in each case. Nevertheless, liquid hydrogen (LH₂) has a density of over 70 g/l or 1 bar. By contrast, hydrogen compressed to 700 bar (CGH₂) has a density of approx. 40 g/l or 15°C.

Higher storage densities come at a price, however. The higher the storage density, the greater the amount of energy needed for cooling and/or compression, and the more complex the design of tank systems and infrastructure.

Currently it takes in the region of 9 to 12% of the final energy made available in the form of H₂ to compress hydrogen from 1 to 350 or 700 bar. Supplying hydrogen at 30 bar – from steel reforming for example – takes approximately 4 to 5% compression energy. By contrast, the energy input for liquefaction (cooling) is much higher, currently around 30%. The energy input is subject to large spreads, depending on the method, quantity and external conditions. Work is currently in progress to find more economic methods with a significantly lower energy input. In the meantime, the latest methods for hydrogen liquefaction involve an energy consumption of less than 20%. The theoretical energy demand for compression of hydrogen to 700 bar or its liquefaction is in the region of 4 to 10% of the energy content (US DOE 2009).

**MATERIALS-BASED H₂ STORAGE**

An alternative to physical storage methods is provided by hydrogen storage in solids and liquids and on surfaces. Most of these storage methods are still in development, however. Moreover, the storage densities that have been achieved are still not adequate, the cost and time involved in charging and discharging hydrogen are too high, and/or the process costs are too expensive.

Materials-based hydrogen storage media can be divided into three classes (FCCT 2017): first, hydride storage systems; second, liquid hydrogen carriers; and third, surface storage systems, which take up hydrogen by adsorption, i.e. attachment to the surface.

The immediate storage of hydrogen in the form of hydrides (natural gas, liquefied petroleum gas or alcohols [methanol], which can then be used directly or with the aid of a reformer in fuel cells, is not considered in any further detail here.

**Hydride storage systems**

In metal hydride storage systems the hydrogen forms interstitial compounds with metals. Here molecular hydrogen is first adsorbed on the metal surface and then incorporated in elemental form (H₂) into the metallic lattice with heat output and released again with heat input. Metal hydrides are based on elemental metals such as palladium, magnesium and lanthanum, intermetallic compounds, light metals such as aluminium, or certain alloys. Palladium, for example, can absorb a hydrogen gas volume up to 900 times its own volume (Martiner/Müller 2010).

The storage density of metal hydride storage systems is far in the region of 1.5 wt% at room temperature, which means that 1.5 kg of hydrogen could be stored in a storage mass of 100 kg. In recent years, complex hydrides have enabled the storage capacity to be increased up to 5.5 wt% (i.e. 5.5 kg H₂ in a 100 kg storage mass) (EA NRW 2013).

Substantial drawbacks to hydride storage are firstly the large amount of heat energy used for discharging, and secondly – especially for applications in transport – the high mass and the slow absorption and release of hydrogen. Advantages include the filter effect of metallic storage, allowing high-purity hydrogen to be discharged, and the low potential of accidental release.

**Liquid organic hydrogen carriers (LOHC)**

Liquid organic hydrogen carriers (LOHC) represent another option for binding hydrogen chemically. LOHCs are chemical compounds with high hydrogen absorption capacities. They currently include, in particular, the carbazole derivative N,N-dicyclohexylamide, but also toluene, which is converted to methylcyclohexane by hydrogenation, dibenzylamine, ammonia borane, and formic acid (Van Wild et al. 2010).

Dehydrogenated LOHCs (unsaturated hydrides) absorb hydrogen in the presence of a catalyst and at pressures of 30 to 50 bar and temperatures of approx. 150 to 200°C. The heat released by this process (exothermic) can be used elsewhere – for heating purposes or for preheating ahead of dehydrogenation, for example. The dehydrogenated carbazole derivative has comparable physicochemical properties to diesel fuel and can be stored and transported accordingly (Techmann et al. 2011). Discharge takes place by dehydrogenation, which requires a heat input of approximately 250 to 300°C (endothermic reaction). The released hydrogen may in some cases also need to be freed from LOHC vapour.

**Surface storage systems (sorbents)**

Finally, hydrogen can be stored as a sorbate by attachment (adsorption) on materials with high specific surface areas. Such sorption materials include, among others, microporous organometallic framework compounds (metalorganic frameworks [MOFs]), microporous crystalline aluminosilicates (zeolites) or microscopically small carbon nanotubes. Adsorption materials in powder form can achieve high volumetric storage densities. The sorption temperatures are generally well below the discharge temperatures for liquid and solid storage materials. Adsorption storage materials as hydrogen storages are still the furthest removed from practical implementation in the field.

**3 STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION**

**3.2 TRANSPORTATION**

Today, the transport of compressed gaseous or liquid hydrogen by lorry and of compressed gaseous hydrogen by pipeline to selected locations are the main transport options used commercially – and they are likely to remain so in the medium term. This section discusses the three transport options (LH₂, LH₂ by lorry and CGH₂ by pipeline) and compares their economic advantages.

**COMPRESSED GAS CONTAINERS**

Gaseous hydrogen can be transported in small to medium quantities in compressed gas containers by lorry. For transporting larger volumes, several pressurised gas cylinders or tubes are bundled together on so-called CGH₂ tube trailers. The large tubes are bundled together inside a protective frame.

The tubes are usually made of steel and have a high net weight. This can lead to mass-related transport restrictions. The newest pressurised storage systems use lighter composite storage containers for lorry transport.

The low density of hydrogen also has an impact on its transport: under standard conditions (1.013 bar and 0°C), hydrogen has a density of 0.0899 kg per cubic metre (m³), also called normal cubic metric (Nm³). If hydrogen is compressed to 200 bar, the density under standard conditions increases to 1.56 kg hydrogen per cubic metre, and at 500 bar it would reach 33 kg/m³.

A tube trailer cannot store compressed gas as compactly as a tanker for liquid fuels (petrol or diesel fuel). This means that the available tank volume for hydrogen per tanker is lower. Single tube trailers carry approximately 500 kg of hydrogen, depending on the pressure and container material.

The largest tank volumes for gaseous hydrogen transport are currently 26 cubic metres. Taking into account the low hydrogen density factor at 500 bar, this results in a load of around 1,100 kg hydrogen per lorry. This figure extrapolates to approximately 12,000 normal cubic metres of hydrogen. At 250 bar both the weight of hydrogen and its transport volume in Nm³ would be roughly halved.

**LIQUID TRANSPORT**

As an alternative, hydrogen can be transported in liquid form in tankers or other means of transport. In comparison to gaseous vessels, more hydrogen can be carried with an LH₂ trailer, as the density of liquid hydrogen is higher than that of gaseous hydrogen. Since the density even of liquid hydrogen is well below that of liquid fuels, at approx. 800 kg/m³, in this case too only relatively moderate masses of hydrogen are transported. At a density of 70.8 kg/m³, around 3,500 kg of liquid hydrogen or almost 40,000 Nm³ can be carried at a loading volume of 30 m³.

Over longer distances it is usually more cost-effective to transport hydrogen in liquid form, since a liquid hydrogen tank can hold substantially more hydrogen than a pressurised gas tank. For the purposes of liquid transport the hydrogen is loaded into insulated cryogenic tanks.

LH₂ trailers have a range of approximately 4,000 km. Over the journey time the cryogenic hydrogen heats up, causing the pressure in the container to rise. The evaporated hydrogen is extracted from the container, normally at the filling station, and supplied for another use or reliquified.

Similarly to lorry transport, LH₂ can also be transported by ship or by rail, provided that suitable waterways, railway lines and loading terminals are available.

**PIPELINE**

A pipeline network would be the best option for the comprehensive and large-scale use of hydrogen as an energy source. However, pipelines require high levels of initial investment, which may pay off, but only with correspondingly large volumes of hydrogen. Nevertheless, one possibility for developing pipeline networks for hydrogen distribution is local or regional networks, known as micro-networks. These could subsequently be combined into transregional networks.

Worldwide there are already (2016) more than 4,500 km of hydrogen pipelines in total, the vast majority of which are operated by hydrogen producers (HyMRC 2017). The longest pipelines are operated in the USA, in the states of Louisiana and Texas, followed by Belgium and Germany (see figure 18). Another possibility for transporting and storing surplus renewable energy in the form of hydrogen is to feed it into the public natural gas network (Hydrogen Enriched Natural Gas or HENG). Until well into the 20th century, hydrogen-rich town gas or coke-oven gas with a hydrogen content above 50 vol% was distributed to households in Germany, the USA and England, for example, via gas pipelines – although not over long distances, for which as yet no experience is available.
Infrastructure elements that were installed at the time, such as pipelines, gas installations, seals, gas appliances etc., were designed for the hydrogen-rich gas and were later modified with the switch to natural gas. It can be assumed that many of the gas transport networks, distribution lines and storage facilities that were operated in the past are still in use today (Müller-Syring et al. 2013). In Leeds (UK), for instance, the possibility has been explored of converting the existing natural gas network in the region (used primarily for municipal heating supply) entirely to hydrogen (Sadler et al. 2016).

Many countries have looked at adding hydrogen into the existing natural gas networks. For the USA, according to (NREL 2013b), it would be possible to introduce amounts from 5 vol% to 15 vol% hydrogen without substantial negative impact on and users or the pipeline infrastructure. At the same time, the larger additions of hydrogen would in some cases require expensive conversions of appliances.

In Germany this limit has been set somewhat lower, at up to 10 vol% (Müller-Syring et al. 2013; Müller-Syring/Hanel 2014).

In principle, gas at concentrations of up to 10 vol% hydrogen can be transported in the existing natural gas network without the risk of damage to gas installations, distribution infrastructure, etc. However, a number of components have been listed that are still considered to be critical and to be generally unsuitable for operation with these hydrogen concentrations. For CNG vehicles, the currently authorised limit value for the proportion of hydrogen used is only 2 vol%, depending on the materials built in (UNECE 2013).

Given their length, the large gas networks in many industrial countries could store considerable amounts of hydrogen. A number of different technical methods are available for recovering hydrogen transported by natural gas pipeline from a natural gas/hydrogen mixed gas (NREL 2013b): pressure swing adsorption (PSA), the membrane process, or electrolythermal gas separation. However, all separation processes require additional technical effort and energy input.

**TRANSPORT ECONOMY**

The various transport possibilities each require specific infrastructure and also involve different fixed and operating costs as well as varying levels of energy input and transport capacity. Depending on the transport task (i.e. the amount of hydrogen to be transported, the distance, the priority of low costs, etc.), the most suitable option must therefore be chosen in each case. If hydrogen is required in small amounts on a laboratory scale, it is typically transported by lorry in gaseous form or in pressure cylinders. This option is relatively expensive for larger amounts, since the greater the volume of hydrogen to be transported, the larger the number of pressure cylinders required. However, in comparison to liquefaction or to a pipeline network, there are virtually no fixed costs, so this is the best option for small amounts and short distances (Yang/Ogden 2007). It is also a flexible option, since it is available for any route and at any time and the necessary amounts can also be increased (albeit with additional operating costs) and reduced.

If it is available, liquid hydrogen trucking is the preferred option for larger volumes over larger distances. The additional cost for hydrogen liquefaction is then offset by the lower trucking cost.

For the transport of very large hydrogen volumes a comprehensive pipeline network is ideal. This option is dominated by the costs of building the pipeline infrastructure. Once it has been built, the increase in specific transport costs for larger volumes is negligible. A pipeline is thus the most cost-effective choice for large transport volumes, whereas for small amounts the fixed costs are very difficult to recover (Yang/Ogden 2007). This conclusion applies to all gaseous and liquid substances to be transported, irrespective of the specific properties of hydrogen.

**SAFETY**

Hydrogen is a combustible gas. And if combustible gases are released, they can form explosive mixtures with air. Hydrogen is known from chemistry lessons in particular for the so-called oxyhydrogen or Knallgas explosion. It is therefore legitimate to ask (DWV 2011): How safe is hydrogen? What factors have to be taken into account to ensure hydrogen is handled safely? Safety-related information about substances and mixtures is summarised in safety data sheets. ISO Technical Report 15916 (2015) contains international guidelines for the safe handling and storage of gaseous and liquid hydrogen. Safety requirements for specific applications are laid down in other ISO standards referenced in that report; they include, for example, ISO 19980 (2016), which describes safety and performance requirements for compressed hydrogen refuelling stations for passenger cars and other motor vehicles.

First of all, hydrogen by itself is not explosive, it is not self-igniting, decapable or oxidising. Moreover, hydrogen is not toxic, corrosive, radioactive, foul-smelling, water-polluting or even carcinogenic. Hydrogen can however displace atmospheric oxygen and as such have an asphyxiating effect. Its most obvious safety-related feature is its high flammability and the broad ignition limits in hydrogen-air mixtures from 4 to 77%.

Yet hydrogen cannot burn on its own. It requires an oxidant (air/oxygen) to do so, along with an ignition source, such as an electric spark. If hydrogen in pure form is brought together with air/oxygen and an ignition source, it burns almost invisibly. For the safe handling of hydrogen, it follows that.

Unlike liquid fuels hydrogen is stored and transported in pure form and in closed resp. completely sealed systems/tanks. Hydrogen pressure tanks, which are most commonly used,
In most cases special processes are required, which bring intermediates with the aid of or by adding hydrogen. Since hydrogen practically only exists on Earth in a combined form, in order to be used it must first be produced by means of appropriate coatings, materials and suitable operating conditions.

The storage of hydrogen (e.g. for compression or liquefaction) requires energy; work is in progress on more efficient storage methods. In the long-term, the natural gas supply infrastructure (pipelines and underground storage facilities) could also be used for the storage and transportation of hydrogen.

In the long-term, the natural gas supply infrastructure (pipelines and underground storage facilities) could also be used for the storage and transportation of hydrogen. Liquid hydrogen is suitable for long-distance transport, compressed gaseous hydrogen is suitable for shorter distances in smaller amounts, while pipelines are advantageous for large volumes.

4.1 MATERIAL AND INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS

Hydrogen is a highly versatile basic chemical, which is used in chemical production and industry to produce, process or refine intermediates and/or end products. It is estimated by Zakkour/Cook (2010) that between 45 Mt/a and 30 Mt/a of hydrogen are produced worldwide, and around 7.8 Mt/a are used in Europe (Le Duigou et al. 2011).

Hydrogen is used in large quantities for chemical product synthesis, especially to form ammonia and methanol. Refineries, where hydrogen is used for the processing of intermediate oil products, are another area of use. Thus, about 55% of the hydrogen produced around the world is used for ammonia synthesis, 25% in refineries and about 10% for methanol production. Other applications worldwide account for only about 10% of global hydrogen production.

It is not always possible to draw final conclusions from the amount of hydrogen used about its relevance in specific production processes and/or its commercial importance in a given application area. Only a small part of global hydrogen production (approx. 4%) is traded freely (Yergin et al. 2011).

This section briefly describes the most important industrial processes and areas of material use of hydrogen.

AMMONIA (FERTILISERS)

The most important hydrogen-nitrogen compound is ammonia (NH₃), also known as azane. Technically, ammonia is obtained on a large scale by the Haber-Bosch process. This process combines hydrogen and nitrogen together directly by synthesis. To this end, the starting materials nitrogen and hydrogen must first be obtained. In the case of nitrogen this is achieved by low-temperature separation of air, while hydrogen originates today from natural gas steam reforming.

Ammonia is synthesised at temperatures of 500°C and pressures of 200 bar. As triple-bonded atmospheric nitrogen (N₂) is very unreactive, the presence of an iron-based catalyst is required. The elements hydrogen and nitrogen react together in the proportion 3:1 in accordance with the following reaction equation:

\[ 3 \text{H}_2 + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{NH}_3 \]

The yield of the Haber process, i.e. the conversion rate of nitrogen (N₂) to ammonia (NH₃), is modest, at below 20%, so substantial recycling of unreacted gases occurs.

Ammonia itself is a colourless gas with a pungent odour. It is readily water-soluble. Almost 90% of ammonia goes into fertilizer production. For this purpose, a large part of the ammonia is converted into solid fertiliser salts or, after catalytic oxidation, into nitric acid (HNO₃) and its salts (nitrate) (Klosterman/Wilberg 2007; Matteis/Muller 2010).

Owing to its high energy of evaporation, ammonia is also used in refrigeration plants as an environmentally friendly and inexpensively produced refrigerant; its technical name is R717.

REFINERIES

In refineries, crude oil is processed by various methods into oil products such as naphtha, petrol and diesel fuels, heating oil and aviation fuels. Following distillation (fractionation), other refining processes are used in order to obtain the desired products and product qualities. Hydrogen plays an important part in some refinery processes.

Firstly, hydrogen occurs as a byproduct in the catalytic reforming of naphtha. With the hydrogen obtained from reforming, the oil products, which still have a high sulphur content after distillation, are desulphurised by hydrodesulphurizing in the presence of a catalyst. Here the oil products are heated together with hydrogen, and the hydrogen sulphides that form from sulphur and hydrogen is drawn off.

In hydrocracking, by contrast, the aim is to increase the product yield. To this end, long-chain hydrocarbons are heated and converted into shorter-chain hydrocarbons with the aid of hydrogen and in the presence of catalysts. Furthermore, hydrocracking makes it easier to remove product impurities. Since the amount of hydrogen obtained from naphtha reforming is no longer sufficient for hydrotreatment and hydrocracking in refineries, the necessary hydrogen must be specifically produced – by natural gas steam reforming or partial oxidation of (heavy) fuel oil. In the future, the hydrogen needed for fuel production could also be produced by electrolysis from renewable energies (“green hydrogen”).

It is expected that there will be a further rise in hydrogen demand in refineries worldwide. One reason for this trend is the endeavour to achieve greater processing depths for each barrel of crude oil. Another are the worldwide increasing quality requirements for fuels (e.g. freedom from sulphur and metals), especially in the emerging markets (IEA 2016b); better fuels are needed to comply with more stringent engine standards and stricter exhaust gas regulations.

Finally, not only mineral oils, but also vegetable oils can be hydrogenated. The latter can either be hydrogenated in refineries together with fossil intermediates (cohydrogenation) or in separate biofuel plants. Hydrogenated Vegetable Oil (HVO) is a fungible paraffinic fuel comparable to Diesel fuel. The hydrogenation process is also used in food chemistry to harden oils and fats and in the plastics industry for polymer production.
METHANOL
Hydrogen is also an important basic substance for producing methanol (CH₃OH). The production of methanol (methanol synthesis) takes place by means of the catalytic hydrogenation of carbon monoxide (Hollmann/Wilberg 2007). Here a mixture of carbon monoxide and hydrogen — also known as water gas or synthesis gas — is passed over suitable catalysts. Under pressure and at elevated temperature, methanol is formed almost exclusively, in accordance with the following reaction equation:

\[
\text{CO} + 2 \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH}
\]

Methanol or methyl alcohol is a simple alcohol and highly toxic. Structurally its composition is similar to that of methane (CH₄), but one hydrogen atom is substituted by a hydroxyl group (OH). Methanol can be used directly as a fuel in internal combustion engines. It is also used in direct methanol fuel cells or, after reforming, in PEM fuel cells. Fuel additives are produced from methanol, and it is used to transforest vegetable oils to form methyl esters (biodiesel). Methanol is also a central chemical raw material, from which important chemical intermediates like formaldehyde (CH₂O), acetic acid (CH₃COOH), and others are synthesised. The demand for methanol has been rising steadily since 2009. A further growth in methanol demand is expected (Johnson 2012).

OTHER APPLICATIONS
The other applications, which in total make up around 10% of hydrogen usage, consist of two groups:

Firstly, hydrogen is used to produce a large number of other important chemical compounds. These include (according to IBST 2010) basic materials for paints and synthetic fibres, various raw materials and precursors for nylon production and polythene elastomer production, and use for the plasticisation and elastisation of plastics.

Secondly, hydrogen is needed in other industrial applications; these include metalworking (primarily in metal alloying), flat glass production (hydrogen used as an inerting or protective gas), the electronics industry (used as a protective and carrier gas, in deposition processes, for cleaning, in etching, in reduction processes, etc.), and applications in electricity generation, for example for generator cooling or for corrosion prevention in power plant pipelines (IBST 2010).

The direct reduction of iron ore — i.e. the separation of oxygen from the iron ore using hydrogen and synthesis gas — could develop into an important industrial process in steel manufacturing, because in the traditional blast furnace method large amounts of carbon are released (IBST 2010). While direct reduction with natural gas is now well-established in steel production (World Steel Association 2015), corresponding production methods based on hydrogen so far exist only on a pilot scale.

4.2 ENERGY APPLICATIONS
In terms of energy applications, hydrogen is above all a secondary energy source and chemical energy store. The energy it contains can be used either in thermally operating heat engines or in galvanic (fuel) cells.

When hydrogen (H₂) is burned with pure oxygen (O₂), water is formed in accordance with the following reaction equation:

\[
2 \text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

In contrast to the combustion of hydrocarbons, no carbon dioxide is formed in this process. If hydrogen is burned with air, nitrogen oxides are also produced. Very high temperatures are reached in hydrogen combustion — above 2,000°C to 3,000°C, depending on the ratio and oxygen content of combustion air.

Although hydrogen is generally a clean and potent fuel with high energy content and attractive combustion properties, hydrogen’s use for energy purposes now rarely lies in (hot) combustion. There has been little further research and development in hydrogen fuelled internal combustion engines in the recent past.

Only in space travel hydrogen is still used as a propellant for rocket engines. Other than that, only hydrogen accruing as a by-product in industry is still occasionally co-combusted, if no economic use can be found for it.

Given the technical progress made in fuel cell technology in recent years, but also because of the technical requirements applying to hydrogen-powered heat engines, the use of hydrogen as a fuel cell is now focused almost entirely on the fuel cell.

FUEL CELL
The fuel cell principle was discovered as early as 1839/39. At the time, however, the fuel cell was unable to make any inroads against the steam engine/genera-
tion combination. Unlike heat engines, a fuel cell can convert chemically bound energy into electrical energy directly, i.e. without detouring via a thermal power process.

The optimum efficiency of heat engines is determined by the Carnot efficiency (Bosch 2014). This is dependent on the ratio of the (upper) combustion temperature to the (lower) incoming air temperature. In fact, heat engines cannot convert the entire heat of the combustion process into mechanical energy, only a part of it.

The generation of electricity via heat engines also requires the use of a genera-
tor. Moreover, real heat engines operated by petrol or diesel processes remain well below theoretical Carnot efficiencies. And when operated with fossil fuels they emit both air pollutants and greenhouse gases.

In fuel cells, the energy contained in hydro-
gas is converted into electrical and heat energy. In this process, 236 kilojoules of energy are released per mol of hydrogen. The conversion of this released energy (reaction enthalpy) into electrical energy determines the maximum efficiency of a fuel cell. In theory, fuel cells can reach cell efficiencies of over 80%. However, owing to voltage losses the efficiencies that are actually achievable are lower (Eichhöfer/ Klett 2012).

Since a fuel cell produces waste heat as well as electricity, it can also be used in combined heat and power processes. If the waste heat is at a sufficiently high tempera-
ture level, a combined heat and power power process can be added downstream. That is the case with the high-temperature fuel cells (SOFC and MCFC), this gives rise to much higher overall efficiencies than in pure thermal engines.

The direct conversion of chemical energy is already known from batteries. These have a finite internal store of energy. A fuel cell can provide a continuous supply of elec-
tricity for as long as the cell is fed with fuel. In the simplest case the fuel is hydrogen, which combines with oxygen in a reaction to form water. By spatial separation into two half cells — by means of an electrolyte, membrane and/or diaphragm — the two substances are unable to react directly with each other, so a controlled reaction can occur.

Depending on the fuel cell type, the temperatures reached during catalytic combus-
tion are well below the hydrogen combustion temperatures, at approx. 50°C to 1,000°C. The process is also referred to as cold combustion. The formation of nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) in the presence of air only begins at temperatures above 1,300°C, so this pollutant issue is avoided in catalytic combustion (Weber 1988).

STRUCTURE & FUNCTIONING
While electrolysis breaks down water into its constituents oxygen and hydrogen using direct current, the reverse process of electrolysis, i.e. the recombinati-
on of oxygen and hydrogen to form water with production of direct current takes place in a fuel cell. The basic structure and operation of a fuel cell are illustrated in figure 20.

In addition to the schematic representation shown here, the following elements are also needed to operate a fuel cell: conditioning of fuel, air and waste gas, cooling circuit, power circuit, humidifier line, measurement and control technology, safety equipment and additional equipment for startup.

A fuel cell system consists of individual fuel cells connected in series — also known as stacks. The core component of the fuel cell is the membrane electrode assembly (MEA), which determines the performance of the fuel cell. It consists of two porous, catalyser-supported electrodes (cathode and anode), an electrolyte (ionic conductor) arranged between them, and a gas-diffusion layer.

The electrolyte separates the gases from each other, but is permeable for certain ions (electrically charged particles). The hydrogen gas supplied to the anode side is split into ions due to the catalyst action and gives off electrons:

\[
2 \text{H}_2 \rightarrow 4 \text{H}^+ + 4\text{e}^-
\]

These electrons are directed to the cathode via an external circuit, in which they per-
form electrical work. There they cause the oxygen to ionise in accordance with the following reaction equation:

\[
\text{O}_2 + 4\text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{O}^2-
\]

Lastly, the free oxygen and hydrogen radicals combine with heat output to form electrically-neutral water:

\[
2\text{H}^+ + \text{O}^2- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

4H² + 2O² → 2H₂O

The side on which the reaction gases accumulate and the type of ion transport through the electrolyte that is needed to close the circuit are dependent on the cell type (see figure 22).

Finally, the gas diffusion layer ensures a uniform distribution of the inflowing gases and reaction products along with the removal of electrons and process heat.

FUEL CELL TYPES
Fuel cells are categorised primarily by the type of electrolyte and the temperature at which the cell is operated. Five groups of fuel cells are distinguished by electrolyte type, and three ranges by temperature: low-temperature at less than approximately 100°C, medium-temperature above 100°C and high-temperature above 500°C. The following section briefly presents the most important fuel cell types by electrolyte, along with their main areas of application, advantages and disadvan-
tages, and the market development status. Table 21 contains a summary of important performance parameters of fuel cells.

In general, the necessary purity of the hydrogen used decreases as the tempera-
ture rises — the lowest temperatures require the purest hydrogen. The efficiencies that can be achieved by the fuel cells are dependent among other things on the operation with air or pure oxygen.
In addition, the overall efficiency increases if the fuel cell is used not only for electricity generation but rather in combined heat and power (CHP) systems, i.e. where the electricity as well as the heat produced is utilized.

**Alkaline fuel cell (AFC)**

The alkaline fuel cell is the pioneer among fuel cells. It was first used at an early stage of space travel for example for the onboard power supply for the Apollo mission in the 1960s. In its initial phase, the AFC was used almost exclusively for mobility applications, but it is also being tested in stationary applications.

The AFC is a low-temperature fuel cell. Key advantages include the rapid attainment of the low operating temperature and the compact design. The use of a simple electrolyte (potassium hydroxide solution) and low-cost catalysts (base metals) leads to low investment costs.

The main problem with the AFC is that it has a very low carbon dioxide tolerance and is therefore dependent on a supply of very pure gases, especially pure oxygen. Despite further developments such as the alkaline membrane fuel cell (AMFC), the AFC is inferior to the PEMFC in terms of output and durability.

**Polymer electrolyte fuel cell/ Proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC)**

The polymer electrolyte membrane is also a low-temperature (LT) fuel cell, which operates at temperatures of around 80°C. Unlike the AFC, the PEMFC has a solid polymer membrane as the electrolyte. In contrast to the AFC, this avoids an elaborate preparation of the electrolyte. The PEMFC has a high power density and a small volume, making it especially suitable for mobility applications.

The noble metal catalyst (platinum) leads to high construction costs. Since the catalyst is also poisoned by sulphur and carbon monoxide, the PEMFC requires pure hydrogen. Unlike the AFC, however, it can operate with air rather than with pure oxygen. The LT-PEMFC additionally requires a complex water management process.

---

**APPLICATIONS**

**Fuel cell technology**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel cell type</th>
<th>Temperature range °C</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Electrical performance</th>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Oxidant</th>
<th>Efficiency ηₑ (%)</th>
<th>Investment costs USD/kWₐ</th>
<th>Life expectancy [h]</th>
<th>Market development</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFC</td>
<td>60 – 90</td>
<td>Potassium hydroxide</td>
<td>Up to 250 kW</td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>O₂ (gaseous)</td>
<td>50 – 60%</td>
<td>200 to 700</td>
<td>5,000 to 8,800</td>
<td>Established for decades, but limited to specialised applications</td>
<td>Space travel, submarines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEMFC</td>
<td>50 – 90 (LT) up to 180 (HT)</td>
<td>Polymer membrane</td>
<td>From 500 W to 400 kW</td>
<td>H₂, gas, syngas, biogas, methanol (external reforming)</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>30 – 60% (depending on size and application)</td>
<td>3,000 to 4,000 [stationary] – 500 (mobile)</td>
<td>60,000 (stationary) – 5,000 (mobile)</td>
<td>Early market/mature leading fuel cell type</td>
<td>Vehicle drivetrains, space travel, micro + blocktype CHP, backup power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAFC</td>
<td>160 – 220</td>
<td>Phosphoric acid</td>
<td>Up to several 10 MW</td>
<td>H₂, gas, syngas, biogas, methanol (external reforming)</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>30 – 40%</td>
<td>4,000 to 5,000</td>
<td>30,000 to 60,000</td>
<td>Mature (low volume)</td>
<td>Decommissioned power generation, blocktype CHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCFC</td>
<td>600 – 700</td>
<td>Carbonate melt</td>
<td>From a couple of 100 kW to several MW</td>
<td>H₂, gas, syngas, biogas, methanol (external reforming)</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>55 – 60%</td>
<td>4,000 to 6,000</td>
<td>20,000 to 40,000</td>
<td>Early market/market introduction (especially for bigger plants)</td>
<td>Power plants (base load), CHP (process heat)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFC</td>
<td>700 – 1,000</td>
<td>Solid ceramic oxides</td>
<td>From a couple of kW to several MW</td>
<td>H₂, gas, syngas, biogas, methanol (external reforming)</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>50 – 70%</td>
<td>3,000 to 4,000</td>
<td>up to 90,000</td>
<td>Mature (volumes rising)</td>
<td>Power plants, CHP (process heat/steam), micro + blocktype CHP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wagner: 1995; Chesshyre & Pearson: 1995; updated with IEA 2015a; EAN 2017; Fenn (2016); Butterworth (2016) and own additions.

---

**OUTLOOK**

The solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) is a high-temperature cell (approx. 600 – 1,000°C), the electrolyte for which consists of a solid porous ceramic material. SOFCs cover a broad range of applications, from decentralised power supply (a few kilowatts) up to the power plant sector (several megawatts). Owing to their high operating temperature, SOFCs require relatively long start-up times. Like MCFCs, SOFCs have high electrical efficiencies (around 60%, or up to 70% with a downstream thermal power process) and high overall efficiencies (up to 85%). The high operating temperature allows for the internal reforming of hydrogen-rich gas or liquid fuels. Once again, however, if hydrocarbons are used, carbon dioxide emissions are also produced. Expensive noble metal catalysts are not necessary for SOFCs, but they do require high-temperature-resistant materials. SOFCs are not only tolerant of carbon monoxide but they are also sulphur-tolerant. Compared with MCFCs, SOFCs are characterised by lower investment costs and a much longer service life.

In recent years SOFCs have developed into the second most important fuel cell type after the PEMFC, in terms of both numbers and installed capacity. Incentive schemes for stationary fuel cells for domestic energy supply have contributed to this success (E4tech 2016).
The use of hydrogen for energy purposes occurs mainly in fuel cells. In 2015 and 2016 some 50,000 fuel cell systems were delivered worldwide, with a total generating capacity of approximately 200 MW (nominal electric output).

Around 80 % of the fuel cell systems supplied and 60 % of the fuel cell capacities shipped were stationary applications (E4tech 2016). Although transport applications are surpassing the stationary sector now, stationary fuel cells are still among the most important drivers of global market development for fuel cell technology (US DOE 2016).

Fuel cells generate both electricity and (by-product) heat. The common production and use of electricity and heat is also known as cogeneration or combined heat and power (CHP). In some cases only electricity generation is of interest, especially in the case of backup power units. Sometimes a relevant additional value is also seen in use of the by-product heat e.g. in the residential sector.

### 5.1 ELECTRICITY GENERATION

Stationary fuel cells can be used for decentralised power supply in off grid areas. The market for backup power applications (BUP) is becoming increasingly important. Backup applications include firstly emergency power supply and secondly uninterruptible power supply (UPS).

Emergency generator sets are used for maintaining operation in the event of lengthy power outages. In such cases the switchover from the mains power supply is usually (briefly) interrupted.

Uninterruptible power supplies, on the other hand, are used to protect highly sensitive technical systems against mains supply fluctuations and short-term outages, so as to ensure continuous operation. Areas of use include in particular telecommunications and IT systems, such as radio towers or data processing centres.

In comparison to conventional thermal power plants, fuel cells have much higher electrical efficiencies of up to 60 %, even for small plants. This is advantageous from an energetic perspective, since a lot of high-value electricity and little heat are produced.

In ongoing operation, fuel cell back-ups are characterised by the following advantages: long autonomous operation and service life, low maintenance costs due to the lack of moving parts, and quiet, (locally) emission-free electricity generation (FCTO 2014a, HMWEVL 2016).

The backup capacity of stationary fuel cells varies from a few kW to over 1 GW. Fuel cells with low-wattage electrical outputs are often portable fuel cells, which offer weight advantages over rechargeable batteries and generators. A variety of different fuel cell types are used in the stationary sector, in some cases also for cooling. In addition to hydrogen, methanol, natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas are used as fuels (HMWEVL 2016).

### 5.2 DOMESTIC ENERGY

If, in addition to the generated electricity, the heat that is produced is also used, the process is referred to as combined heat and power (CHP). If such plants are used in the domestic heating sector, they are also described as micro-CHP or mini-CHP plants (Shell/BDO 2013) because of their smaller outputs.

CHP plants can be operated with two strategies: The plant covers either most of the electricity or of the heat demand. If electricity prices are high, an electricity-led mode of operation is appropriate. In this way the purchase of electricity from the grid can be minimised, or the generated...
IN SUMMARY

Stationary fuel cells are an important driver of global market development for fuel cells. Fuel cells are increasingly being used as an alternative to generators and rechargeable batteries as a backup power supply. Fuel cells generate both power and heat. Major demonstration projects for fuel cell heating systems have already been introduced in Germany, Europe and Japan, under the names “Callux”, “ene.field” and “EneFarm”. The first commercial micro-CHP plants based on PEMFC and SOFC fuel cells are now available.

Owing to their high overall efficiencies, micro-CHP fuel cell systems are a promising new option for a sustainable residential energy supply.

Depending on the market development, the use of fuel cell-based micro-CHP or mini-CHP units in the building/residential sector will require further funding.

THE ECONOMICS OF A FUEL CELL HEATING SYSTEM

Using key data and own assumptions from the Shell BDH domestic heating study (2013), this section analyses the economics of an investment in a micro-CHP fuel cell heating system for residential heating in comparison with a gas condensing boiler and an electric heat pump. For this purpose a simplified (total) cost of ownership (TCO) approach is applied. The cost of ownership analysis focuses on the investment cost for the heating equipment (including installation) and the costs of purchasing energy (for heat generation and electricity).

A reference building with a living area of approximately 150 m² is considered. In the reference building chosen, the heat required for domestic hot water and heating was previously generated by a low-temperature natural gas boiler. The specific energy consumption of 150 kWh per square metre for living space results in an annual natural gas consumption of 22,500 kWh. The annual domestic electricity consumption (4,000 kWh) is drawn from the general electricity grid. The assumed electricity price is 0.20 €/kWh, the natural gas price 0.065 €/kWh.

The low-temperature boiler which has been used for central heating so far is overturned by now. The owner needs to modernise the heating system and considers three options: a micro-CHP fuel cell heating system, a gas condensing boiler heating system and an electric heat pump. The life time of all three heating types is assumed to be 20 years.

Gas condensing boiler: The low-temperature gas boiler is replaced by a gas condensing boiler. The costs for this system and its installation amount to 7,000 €. The boiler runs on natural gas. The replacement reduces the natural gas consumption to 20,100 kWh. As previously, all the domestic electricity consumption is drawn from the grid.

Heat pump: The low-temperature gas boiler is replaced by an electric air sourced heat pump (ASHP). The costs for the heat pump and its installation amount to 12,000 €. Operating the heat pump requires 7,200 kWh of heat pump electricity per year. The heat pump electricity tariff is 0.21 €/kWh. Again, all the domestic electricity consumption is drawn from the grid via the existing house connection.

Fuel cell heating system: The low-temperature gas boiler is replaced by a new micro-CHP fuel cell heating system. The costs for this amount to 20,000 €. The fuel cell heating system consists of a fuel cell for simultaneous electricity and heat generation (electricity output 0.75 kW, heat output 1 kW). A natural gas condensing boiler that is additionally integrated into the heating system supplies the extra heat required to heat the building and for domestic hot water generation. The fuel cell heating system runs on natural gas. As electricity prices are high compared to the natural gas price, the most economic operating strategy of the fuel cell is to cover most of the electricity needed in the building; here 70 % of the electricity generated by the fuel cell is consumed in the house. As such, less electricity has to be drawn from the grid. The electricity that is generated by the fuel cell and not consumed internally is fed into the grid.

In all three cases, the cost of ownership for energy are made up of the acquisition and installation costs for the heating system, the natural gas purchase costs, and the costs for domestic electricity supply via grid connection. For the fuel cell heating system this means that the costs for domestic electricity fall because of the consumption of the self-generated electricity. This reduction is (partially) offset by a higher natural gas consumption, however.

Figure 24 shows the heating and electricity costs in EUR over time, i.e. the costs for acquiring each heating system (on the Y-axis intersection), and for the total operating costs (including acquisition cost) for three system options over 20 years of service (X-axis). The calculation does not take account of any grants for heating appliances or any tax exemptions or feed-in remunerations for the electricity.

Owing to the low acquisition costs, the ownership costs for the gas condensing boiler are the lowest today. The acquisition costs for the fuel cell heating system are the highest. However, given the high proportion of self-generated electricity that is consumed, it has the lowest operating fuel and electricity costs. As such, the fuel cell heating system would be more cost-effective than an electric heat pump after just under 10 years of service.

If the purchase costs of a fuel cell heating system were to fall, due to falling production costs or to direct subsidies as in Japan, for example, the fuel cell heating system would even be more cost-effective than the gas condensing boiler heating system after just a few years.

In principle, the greater the spread between the electricity and gas price and the higher the proportion of self-generated electricity that is used, the faster a fuel cell heating system pays off.
6 MOBILITY APPLICATIONS

Hydrogen can serve as an energy source for mobility purposes. As such hydrogen can be used as a combustion fuel in the internal combustion engine, currently the dominant energy converter in road transport. However, the most important and promising combination for the future of the energy and mobility industry is the fuel cell as energy converter and hydrogen as energy source.

This chapter examines the technical foundations for the use of hydrogen and fuel cells in the mobility sector, looking at each means of transport. Of particular interest is the state of technology development or readiness, because an adequate technology readiness of fuel cell technology, while not sufficient in itself, is a necessary prerequisite for market success in the respective mobility application areas.

The technological potential for the fuel cell/hydrogen combination is assessed with respect to the requirements of each specific means of transport. Advantages and disadvantages of hydrogen and fuel cell transport applications in comparison with relevant alternative drive/fuel combinations are discussed. The chapter concludes with a summary of technology readiness and the outlook for potential use of hydrogen (as a fuel) and fuel cells in transport.

6.1 APPLICATION OPTIONS AND MEANS OF TRANSPORT

The application options for hydrogen as a fuel for mobility can be differentiated firstly by the chemical form or combination of hydrogen and secondly by the energy converter by means of which the energy stored in the hydrogen is made available.

In direct use, (pure) molecular hydrogen (H₂) is used by the transportation means directly, i.e. without further conversion, as an energy source. In this case hydrogen can be used both in internal combustion engines and in fuel cells (fuel cell systems).

In indirect use, hydrogen is used to produce final energy sources or is converted by means of additional conversion steps into gaseous or liquid hydrogen-containing fuels. Such PFG (Power-to-Gas) and P2H (Power-to-Liquids) fuels can then in turn be used in heat engines. Use in fuel cells would also be possible (in some cases), using a reformer, but it is not economically viable.

Until recently hydrogen was regarded as a promising alternative fuel for internal combustion engines. In principle, heat engines have multifuel capability, i.e. they can process different liquid and gaseous fuels. And hydrogen is highly suited to use in internal combustion engines because of its material and combustion properties. Its broad ignition range and high flame velocity allow for improved combustion engine efficiencies. A further advantage of hydrogen as a fuel lies in its carbon-free combustion and significantly reduced air pollutant emissions in comparison to hydrocarbon fuels.

Up to about ten years ago, buses, vans and cars with hydrogen-powered internal combustion engines were being developed as prototypes and low-volume production vehicles. A number of different engine concepts and fuel mixing strategies were tested in this context. In the case of passenger cars and similar vehicles, only spark-ignition engines were used. In some cases they could even run on petrol too (bivalent engine). However, a disadvantage of using hydrogen in internal combustion engines is that, in contrast to fuel cell systems, the efficiency of hot hydrogen combustion is (fundamentally) no higher than that of conventionally operated petrol and diesel engines (Eichlseder/Klell 2014).

For that reason, and also because of the technical advances that have been made in mobile fuel cell technology, for all practical purposes only cold combustion is now used in fuel cell systems in the mobility sector. The electrical energy generated from hydrogen in fuel cells can be used to drive motor vehicles or other means of transport. Moreover, small fuel cells can also supply electricity for auxiliary components or auxiliary power units in vehicles or other means of transport. This applies in particular to larger means of transport such as ships or aircraft, which in some cases require considerable amounts of energy or electricity for auxiliary components.

At the heart of the discussion are the state of and prospects for hydrogen and fuel cell systems for powering transportation means. The exception is space travel, which can be regarded both historically and technically as having provided the impetus for the development of hydrogen as a fuel for transportation and fuel cell technology.

The rocket propellant LH₂/OX has been tried and tested extensively in space travel and has a high level of technical maturity. It has the great advantage that as well as being light, its high exit velocity of over 4000 m/s (in a vacuum) also generates a high specific impulse. Moreover, the combination of LH₂/OX as a propellant is also environmentally advantageous, since it burns to form mostly water.

Owing to their advantages in space, LH₂/OX are used primarily for the upper and main stages of rocket propulsion systems. Thus the main and upper stages of the European Ariane 5 launch vehicle carry around 185 t of LH₂/OX in total, in order to deliver a payload of up to around 20 or 10 t into a lower or higher orbit of the Earth (Airninespace 2016).

SPACE TRAVEL

The use of hydrogen – both as a propellant and as an on-board power source for spaceships – has a long tradition in space travel. Cryogenic liquid hydrogen (LH₂) has been used as a rocket propellant and energy store for the on-board power supply since the 1950s/1960s. The propulsion and power supply systems used in space travel have to satisfy strict requirements in terms of robustness, performance and safety.

In order to use hydrogen as a rocket propellant, an oxidant must be carried on-board too, since there is no atmospheric oxygen available in space. In most cases cryogenic liquid oxygen (LOX) is used. The propellant and oxidant are stored in separate tanks. For the purposes of combustion the propellant and oxidant are pumped into a combustion chamber, mixed together and ignited by means of an ignition source. Combustion of the gas mixture produces a large amount of heat. The expansion of the reaction products and their expulsion through a nozzle generates thrust, which ultimately propels the rocket.

The rocket propellant LH₂/OX are solid fuels and liquid fuels – such as Rocket Propellant 1 (RP-1), a highly refined light middle distillate from the jet fuel family (JP-4). Liquid hydrocarbons (RP-1) or solid rocket propellants have a higher volumetric density than hydrogen, and this has a beneficial impact on the size of the fuel tank. They are also usually less expensive and easier to handle than hydrogen. However, their specific impulse is much lower and, unlike hydrogen in combination with oxygen, when they are burned they also produce greenhouse gases and air pollutants, some of which – in the case of solid fuel rockets – also contain toxic substances.

Other than as a rocket propellant, hydrogen has been used in space travel for more than forty years in fuel cells. In most cases these are alkaline fuel cells (AFCs), which run on the liquid hydrogen and oxygen that is carried anyway for propulsion purposes. They supply both electricity and heat as well as water for the on-board systems on spacecrafts.

The Shell Hydrogen Study
**NAUTICAL APPLICATIONS**

In the shipping industry diesel engines are used almost exclusively today. Ocean-going vessels use either heavy fuel oil or marine diesel as fuel, while inland waterway vessels - within the EU for example - use commercial diesel fuel. To date the only relevant alternative drive option for the shipping industry is the use of liquefied natural gas (LNG) or compressed natural gas (CNG) to fuel ships.

As in aviation, fuel cells are currently being tested as energy providers for on-board power supply. The functional capability of fuel cell modules has been tested successfully under maritime conditions (4-ships 2016). Fuel cells work more efficiently than comparable diesel generator sets, in the partial load range in particular and through the possibility of combined heat and power generation. Air pollutant and noise emissions in ports can be reduced. In many cases the fuel cells are operated not with hydrogen but with other fuels, including methanol, natural gas or diesel fuel. These offer the advantages of greater availability, lower price and easier storage. They are converted into hydrogen with the aid of internal or external reformers. The use of hydrogen-powered fuel cells for ship propulsion, by contrast, is still at an early design or trial phase - with applications in smaller passenger ships, ferries or recreational craft. The low- and high-temperature fuel cell (PEMFC) and the solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) are seen as the most promising fuel cell types for nautical applications (EMSA 2017). As yet, however, no fuel cells have been scaled for and used on large merchant vessels.

Moreover, in comparison to the efficient, slow-turning diesel engine, which runs on heavy fuel oil, the power train and fuel are still too expensive. In addition, international technical standards still need to be developed in order to use gaseous fuels (such as hydrogen) (Würsing/Marquardt 2016).

**RAIL / HYDRAIL**

The main drive sources for rail vehicles are either diesel drives (diesel-electric, diesel-hydraulic) or all-electric. The energy intensity of electric rail vehicles is around half that of diesel rail vehicles (IEA/UIC 2015). An increase in line electrification in conjunction with a decarbonisation of railway electricity production would therefore be a possible energy and climate strategy for rail.

The proportion of electric traction is already high in some regions of the world. Electrification levels have reached 60 to 80% in Europe and Asia, and the average for EU member states is around 60%. Worldwide, however, the proportion of electrified railways is only around one-third. Over 50% of the railways in India are electrified, around 40% in China, a good 20% in Africa, but only a few percent in North America (IEA/UIC 2015).

In electric locomotives, motive power is supplied via stationary current conductors (overhead lines, conductor rails) and current collectors on the vehicles. However, for technical, economic or other reasons, not every railway line can be electrified. Especially on lines with a low transport volume, the high upfront investment that is needed for electrification of the lines cannot always be justified. Moreover, overhead lines cannot be used for shunting if cranes are also in use for moving transport goods. In subsurface mining, by contrast, traction vehicles have to operate without air pollutants.

Rail vehicles that use hydrogen as an energy store and energy source can offer an additional alternative. Fuel cell-powered rail vehicles combine the advantage of pollutant-free operation with the advantage of low infrastructure costs, comparable with those for diesel operation.

The term hydrail as a short form of hydrogen rail has been coined to describe hydrogen-based rail vehicles. Hydrogen applications can be reasonable in locations where an electric rail infrastructure cannot be built or where pollutant-free operation is required.

To date, two types of fuel cell-powered rail vehicles have been developed and tested: shunting locomotives and railcars for public (local) rail passenger transport. Heavy locomotives for (long-distance) rail freight transport are either all-electric, diesel-electric or diesel-hydraulic. The shrinking locomotives are mostly either one-off vehicles or conversions of diesel locomotives. Moreover, individual shunting locomotives have been fitted out and used for transport purposes below ground in the mining industry.

For light rail vehicles there is a funded test track for regional fuel cell trains in Northern Germany. 50 local trains are scheduled to be brought into service in various regions of Germany by 2021 (Eins & Young et al 2016). In addition, a fuel cell-powered train - also known as a hydrogen trolley or hydroley - which has been developed in China operates on a tram line in the Chinese coastal city of Qingdao. Other tram lines are at the planning stage.

Light passenger rail vehicles frequently cover only short distances each day, for which large energy stores are not required. The expensive combination of fuel cell and on-board hydrogen tank can pay off, if the costs of setting up and maintaining overhead lines are avoided. In the long-term, it might also be possible for parts of the infrastructure to be shared with fuel cell buses.

**AVIATION**

In civil aviation, hydrogen-powered fuel cells are regarded as potential energy providers for aircraft as they have been in space travel for some time now. Thus, fuel cell modules can supply electricity to the aircraft electrical system as emergency generator sets or as an auxiliary power unit. More advanced concepts include starting of the main engine and the nose wheel drive for aerial movements by commercial aircraft.

Since fuel cells produce electricity more efficiently than aircraft engines, in ground operations aviation fuel can be saved and emissions reduced. Furthermore, multifunctional fuel cells can contribute to the supply of water, air humidification and the inverting of fuels (Renovard-Vellette et al 2012).

The ideas and concepts for hydrogen in aviation extend to the support and/or complete drive of the flight operation. Individual jet engines have occasionally been tested with hydrogen in the past. More recently, electric aviation has been boosted by a number of small demonstration aircraft, the size of unmanned drones, motor gliders or small sports aircraft with a mass of up to 1.5 t (DIR 2015). These miniature and small propeller aircraft were fitted with PEM fuel cells and lithium batteries for the drive. The custom-built electric planes were able to demonstrate the basic feasibility of hydrogen-powered fuel cells in flight operations over short distances.

The use of fuel cell technology as the sole or main drive and fuel for full-sized commercial aircraft in national and international air services is not yet in sight, however.

**AVIATION AT A GLANCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market maturity</th>
<th>Demonstration projects for on-board power supply. The first miniature/small aircraft have demonstrated the feasibility of electric aviation. Use in larger commercial aircraft not yet in sight.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>High reliability in flight operations, compact, weight-saving storage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Efficient energy converter and clean fuel, multifunctional.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>Large storage volume, significantly more expensive than fossil fuels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternatives</td>
<td>Jet engines with kerosene type aviation fuel (Jet A1) or paraffinic fuels (such as GTL); piston engines with gasoline type aviation fuel (Avgas).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NAUTICAL APPLICATIONS AT A GLANCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market maturity</th>
<th>Use of fuel cells for on-board power generation being trialed. Concepts for small ship/boat propulsion systems; propulsion systems for commercial maritime shipping unlikely.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Low emissions combined with low prices for drive-trains and fuels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Higher efficiency, lower emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>Expensive propulsion technology and fuel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternatives</td>
<td>Diesel engine with heavy fuel oil, marine diesel, (commercial) diesel fuel, gas turbine with LNG/CNG.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# INDUSTRIAL TRUCKS (FORKLIFTS/TOW TRUCKS)

Industrial trucks are another area of use for fuel cell technology. Industrial trucks can run on the ground or on a rail system. The most common types are forklifts and tow trucks, which are used in intralogistics, i.e. for handling flows of materials within a company. In many cases industrial trucks operate in enclosed areas, but they are also used outdoors – at airports for example. Worldwide more than 10 million industrial trucks are used every day, and the fleets are growing (Könighn/Micheli 2015).

Industrial trucks are available with both electric drives and internal combustion engines. In the case of electric drives for indoor use, lead-acid batteries are still widely used. Internal combustion engine industrial trucks are often low-emission gas vehicles (liquefied petroleum gas) or petrol or diesel vehicles.

Fuel cell industrial trucks are especially suitable for indoor operation, because they produce no local pollutant emissions and only low noise emissions. Fuel cell vehicles have advantages over battery-operated industrial trucks in terms of refueling. Instead of having to replace the battery, the trucks can be refueled within two to three minutes. They take up less space and are cheaper to maintain and repair. Fuel cell industrial trucks allow for uninterrupted use and are therefore particularly suitable for multi-shift operation in material handling (FCTO 2014b). In the case of larger industrial truck fleets in multi-shift operation, (moderate) cost reductions can be achieved in comparison to battery technology, and productivity in material handling can also be increased (NREL 2013a).

On the way towards commercialisation, industrial trucks for material handling represent an early market application of fuel cell technology. The USA has the largest hydrogen-powered industrial truck fleet at present. With various incentive schemes in place, there are currently some 11,000 fuel cell vehicles in use in the USA, with an average fleet size of over 100 units.

In Europe there are around 140 fuel cell industrial trucks in operation at present. In order to drive commercialisation forward, a further 200 fuel cell-operated material handling vehicles are set to be brought into circulation in small fleets at 10 to 20 selected locations as part of the HyLIFT Europe project. In Asia there are as yet only prototypes in Japan (FCTO 2015; Landinger 2016).

## INDUSTRIAL TRUCKS AT A GLANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market maturity</th>
<th>To date, demonstration projects for light rail vehicles (based on bus technology). Various shunting locomotives as pilot projects/one-off vehicles.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Sufficient and reliable hydrogen supply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Lower infrastructure costs (no overhead lines/conductor rails); locally emission-free operation, dependent on hydrogen production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>Expensive drive system, additional tank space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternatives</td>
<td>Diesel (electric/hydraulic) with diesel or PFI fuels; electric traction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ADVANCED TRANSPORTATION

### BUSES

In terms of road transport, buses in the public transport network are the most thoroughly tested area of application for hydrogen and fuel cells. Since the early 1990s, several hundred buses have been and are being operated with hydrogen worldwide – predominantly in North America, Europe and increasingly also in Asia.

Although hydrogen was initially still used in buses with internal combustion engines, bus developers are now concentrating almost entirely on fuel cell electric buses (FCEB). The use of small FCEB fleets is being promoted in urban areas as a way of contributing to technological development and to clean air policy.

Fuel cell buses have now reached a high level of technical maturity, although they are not yet in series production. Owing to the small numbers, until now they have still been much more expensive, at around 1 million EUR, than standard diesel buses, which cost in the region of 250,000 EUR. The maintenance costs have also been significantly reduced and the reliable operating times increased (Ihle et al. 2013).

Depending on annual production numbers, production costs for FCEBs should continue to fall, however, in future projects. The production costs for 1,200 buses are projected to fall to around 650,000 EUR by 2020 and to approach 350,000 EUR by 2030; bringing them within reach of diesel hybrid buses (RB 2015; Klingenberg 2016).

Modern fuel cell buses draw their energy from two fuel cell stacks, each with an output of approx. 100 kW. They also have a relatively small traction battery and are able to recover brake energy. In addition, they carry approximately 30 to 50 kg of compressed hydrogen on board, stored in pressure tanks at 350 bar. On the other hand, some battery electric bus models have large traction batteries and only small fuel cell stacks, which are used as range extenders.

Fuel cell buses now have a range of 300 to 450 km and so offer almost the same flexibility as diesel buses in day-to-day operation. While some older municipal buses still consume well over 20 kg of hydrogen (rather than 40 litres of diesel) per 100 km, newer fuel cell bus fleets now use only 8 to 9 kg per 100 km, giving FCEBs an energy efficiency advantage of around 40% as compared with diesel buses.

In order to develop the market, demonstration projects with large fleets in long-term use are planned. The FCEB fleet in Europe is expected to expand from 90 to between 300 and 400 vehicles by 2020. The first large fleets have also been announced in China (RB 2015).

The use of fuel cells and hydrogen in municipal buses has made a substantial contribution to the technical and economic development of this drive technology in road transport. For that reason, the use of fuel cell technology and hydrogen in buses is also regarded as a model which can be transferred to other commercial vehicles (ARB 2015).

### BUS AT A GLANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market maturity</th>
<th>Technology tested and tested in numerous small fleets worldwide (Europe, North America, Asia), larger projects with several hundred buses at the planning stage; currently only in publicly funded transport projects, studies on commercial use (CFCP 2013; RB 2015).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Flexible, reliable use in scheduled services with short downtimes (for refueling/charging), ideally no space and weight restrictions for passenger transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Range 300 to 450 km, no public infrastructure needed for municipal buses, range still too short for coaches; no air pollutants, low noise emissions, little additional weight from hydrogen tanks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>Vehicles still more expensive than the reference technology of diesel buses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternatives</td>
<td>Gas buses, diesel hybrid buses, electric buses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LORRIES AND LIGHT COMMERCIAL VEHICLES

Almost all lorries are fitted with diesel engines; this is especially true of the heavy goods vehicles used for long-distance road haulage. Alternative drives and fuels – mostly gas vehicles (CNG and PFG) – are only used for light commercial vehicles, and even then only in small numbers as yet. Electric drives have so far been unable to achieve significant numbers in lorries because of the weight and volume of the batteries needed to provide the necessary range reduces the payload to an unacceptable level. However, a gradual increase in hybridisation/ electrification is anticipated in the future for light commercial vehicles and small lorries (Shell 2016). In terms of lorries, in California and Germany there are as yet just a few publicly funded
vehicles which draw their drive energy from hydrogen-powered fuel cells.

In principle, the wealth of experience and concepts in bus applications can be drawn on regarding the use of fuel cells goods vehicles. The prototypes are mostly light to medium lorries, which because of their low noise emissions and absence of pollutants are intended for use in urban delivery services. As a rule they are generally battery electric vehicles, which have been fitted with a fuel cell as a range extender.

The use of fuel cells in long-distance road haulage, i.e. for 40-ton trucks in the EU or class 7/8 trucks in the USA, is farther behind. Meanwhile, concepts or prototypes for heavier lorries are available. However, vehicles of the 300 to 350 kW output class would still need to be tested in long-term use over long distances.

Prerequisites for use in long-distance road haulage are considered to be the minimising of losses in payload (and volume), competitive fuel prices, the maximum possible range and an adequate refuelling station infrastructure (ABB 2015; Wetschel et al. 2016).

Finally, the use of fuel cells to supply auxiliary equipment with electricity is also discussed. Here reformers convert diesel fuel into hydrogen, which is used efficiently and with few or no air pollutants by appropriately dimensioned fuel cells to operate refrigeration systems and the like.

LORRIES AND LIGHT COMMERCIAL VEHICLES AT A GLANCE

| Market maturity | Vehicles mostly in the USA (around 50), with individual examples in Germany/EU. Concepts and prototypes primarily for smaller lorries in urban areas with air quality issues, but also first concepts/prototypes for heavy goods vehicles. |
| Requirements     | Space-saving hydrogen storage; reliable supply; reduction in total cost of ownership. |
| Advantages       | Higher efficiency, no air pollutants, low noise emissions. |
| Disadvantages    | Expensive drive technology/fuel; still shorter range than diesel; low density of refuelling stations. |
| Alternatives     | Diesel vehicles, LNG/CNG and battery-electric commercial vehicles (BEVs). |

In the past, however, they suffered from the low storage density and hence range of batteries. Due to technical advances in battery technology, however, the typical daily distances travelled in cities can now often be covered.

The last two decades saw a succession of two-wheel prototypes equipped with a variety of fuel cell types and storage systems. The commercial implementation of fuel cell motorcycles followed, however, partly because of the higher purchase/running costs for the fuel cell technology and the inadequate hydrogen supply infrastructure (Wing 2012). In addition, battery technology can cover the moderate performance requirements for electric motorcycles and mopeds in urban commuter traffic. As a result there are now only a few current prototypes, including a motor scooter with pan-European approval as a production vehicle (Suzuki 2011).

For the 20-to-30 kW class, fuel cell motorcycles are zero-pollutant and low-noise. Longer range in comparison to all-electric motorcycles.

Finally, fuel cell motorcycles are zero-pollutant and lower-noise than all battery electric motorcycles. However, it is still necessary to scale – in their operational principle [TRL 1] through a proof of concept [TRL 3] up to TRL 9 (technology established in the market). In almost all the vehicle categories considered, there are at least experimental setups or small prototypes which have been tested – if not necessarily to scale – in their operational environment with hydrogen and/or fuel cell technology. For that reason, the rest of this section concentrates only on TRL levels 5/6 (experimental setup in operational environment/prototype) upwards. The ultimate objective of technological development is the TRL 9, a technology established in the market – like internal combustion engine drives in road transport. The prerequisites for this is an approved, functioning technology [TRL 8].
Space travel provided the impetus for the development of hydrogen as a transport fuel and of fuel cell technology. In the transport sector hydrogen is now used almost exclusively in fuel cells.

Hydrogen fuel cell systems are suitable for virtually all means of transport. Passenger cars, buses and material handling vehicles have technically reached series-production readiness, are not far off that point, or are already in the early stages of commercialisation.

Fuel cell passenger cars now offer the same features as those powered by internal combustion engines.

**IN SUMMARY**

**7 CAR OWNERSHIP COSTS**

There are many factors determining the choice and operation of a vehicle. They include technical parameters (such as range or engine power), ecological parameters (such as emissions), regulatory parameters (such as usage restrictions/driving bans) or qualitative parameters (such as comfort or prestige). An important factor in deciding whether to buy or keep a car is economic in nature, i.e. the costs involved. These can include the (substantial) purchase costs of the vehicle, running costs, or costs relating to a specific mileage or transport capacity.

This chapter looks at the economics of hydrogen-powered fuel cell passenger cars and compares fuel cell electric vehicles (FCEVs) with competing drive/fuel combinations – namely petrol cars, petrol hybrids and battery electric passenger cars.

An analysis tool to assess the economic viability of different fuel drive train combinations is the total cost of ownership (TCO) approach as established in commercial road transport. TCO analysis takes account of all the direct and indirect costs of vehicle ownership for the purposes of providing a desired passenger or goods transport service.

The fixed TCO costs include the acquisition costs for a car, i.e. the purchase price (usually for the basic version of a vehicle) or, if financing is used, the instalment payments plus interest. If the car is to be sold again, the depreciation over the desired ownership period at the trade-in value must also be estimated. Vehicle tax and care insurance are included in the fixed costs.

In addition, workshop costs (for maintenance and wear-and-tear repairs) must be taken into account (ADAC, 2016). Furthermore, assumptions have to be made about key cost items – regarding insurance tariff or depreciation for example. Finally, the running TCO costs include spending on fuel or energy and consumables.

The TCO estimate is complex and it is appropriate primarily for rational economic operators. For the analysis of financial decisions of private households, however, a simple comparison of the most important differentiating cost items is usually sufficient. The key cost differentiators are the purchase price of the vehicle and the fuel costs for its operation. If the ownership costs for different drive types are similar, they are assumed to have little influence on purchase and operation decisions; if they differ significantly, the ownership costs can

---

### 25 TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVELS OF MOBILITY APPLICATIONS FOR HYDROGEN / FUEL CELLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRL</th>
<th>Definition of Technology Readiness Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Space travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Industrial Trucks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Shunting Locomotives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lorries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Horsepower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A priori</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>In the laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>In the real environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**PRIVATE USERS**

Private users want transportation means to provide a passenger transport service, and generally one that is as cost-effective as possible. For that reason, purchase and maintenance costs and energy consumption play a part. Therefore, the resources and time involved in using the transportation means should not be too high. However, other selection criteria might be as important as economics. Non-economic criteria include comfort, safety, prestige and environmental characteristics such as specific emissions; in some cases people are prepared to pay a high premium for such criteria, especially pioneer users.

In commercial transport the most important factors, in addition to technology readiness, are economic criteria arising from the acquisition and operating costs for a vehicle. In addition, usage restrictions and availabilities of drive/fuel combinations are taken into account in regard to vehicle acquisition and maintenance.

Since economic efficiency and energy and environmental balances are relevant for both private and commercial users, these are assessed in more detail below in respect of passenger cars.

---

**7 CAR OWNERSHIP COSTS**

For comparison, the Shell Hydrogen Study used prices from late 2016 for the following models: Mercedes-Benz C-class estate, BMW 3-series GT, Audi A4 allroad and Volvo XC60. For the fuel cell electric vehicle, the range of the baselined model was taken as the basis.

An analysis tool to assess the economic viability of different fuel drive train combinations is the total cost of ownership (TCO) approach as established in commercial road transport. TCO analysis takes account of all the direct and indirect costs of vehicle ownership for the purposes of providing a desired passenger or goods transport service.

The fixed TCO costs include the acquisition costs for a car, i.e. the purchase price (usually for the basic version of a vehicle) or, if financing is used, the instalment payments plus interest. If the car is to be sold again, the depreciation over the desired ownership period at the trade-in value must also be estimated. Vehicle tax and care insurance are included in the fixed costs.

In addition, workshop costs (for maintenance and wear-and-tear repairs) must be taken into account (ADAC, 2016). Furthermore, assumptions have to be made about key cost items – regarding insurance tariff or depreciation for example. Finally, the running TCO costs include spending on fuel or energy and consumables.

The TCO estimate is complex and it is appropriate primarily for rational economic operators. For the analysis of financial decisions of private households, however, a simple comparison of the most important differentiating cost items is usually sufficient. The key cost differentiators are the purchase price of the vehicle and the fuel costs for its operation. If the ownership costs for different drive types are similar, they are assumed to have little influence on purchase and operation decisions; if they differ significantly, the ownership costs can
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**PRIVATE USERS**

Private users want transportation means to provide a passenger transport service, and generally one that is as cost-effective as possible. For that reason, purchase and maintenance costs and energy consumption play a part. Therefore, the resources and time involved in using the transportation means should not be too high. However, other selection criteria might be as important as economics. Non-economic criteria include comfort, safety, prestige and environmental characteristics such as specific emissions; in some cases people are prepared to pay a high premium for such criteria, especially pioneer users.

In commercial transport the most important factors, in addition to technology readiness, are economic criteria arising from the acquisition and operating costs for a vehicle. In addition, usage restrictions and availabilities of drive/fuel combinations are taken into account in regard to vehicle acquisition and maintenance.

Since economic efficiency and energy and environmental balances are relevant for both private and commercial users, these are assessed in more detail below in respect of passenger cars.
It is assumed that low-pollutant, hybrid petrol car concepts will be the competing technology for zero-emission vehicles in the medium term. Therefore, the fuel cell passenger car is compared with an internal combustion engine C-segment car – both a petrol-only and a hybrid petrol vehicle. In addition, fuel cell passenger cars are compared with battery electric vehicles, since they are the only relevant alternative in the zero-emission drives sector. The ownership cost analysis considers passenger car models of the 2020s.

It should be noted that under the EU directive to reduce CO2 emissions from new passenger cars (333/2014/EU) [European] vehicle manufacturers are required to reduce the specific CO2 emissions from their newly registered vehicles to an average of just 95 g CO2/km (based on the New European Driving Cycle). Similar CO2 limits apply in Korea and the USA with maximum permissible values of 97 and 88 g CO2/km with effect from 2020 and 2025 (ICTC 2014a), while the regulations in Japan and China are somewhat less strict. In order for a petrol car to emit only 95 g CO2/km it must have a fuel consumption amounting to around 4.1/100 km. That would be a challenge for a simple petrol C-segment car, but not for a hybrid petrol car.

The assumptions regarding vehicle equipment (and standard) fuel consumption are based on [IEC 2013] for “2020 plus” category vehicles; those passenger cars are already characterised by high efficiency and low fuel consumption figures. The standard fuel consumption figures were converted into real fuel consumption figures mainly by reference to [ICTC 2016] and sources cited therein. Passenger car purchase costs by drive type up to 2020 were taken from an ambitious climate protection scenario (2DS) in [IEA 2015]. The fuel prices for the alternative drive systems were varied in order to estimate sensitivities. The assumed values are not future projections but only assumptions for the purposes of the ownership cost analysis.

The ownership costs for each drive/fuel cost combination are compared with one another in an XY chart (Figures 27 and 28). The X-axis shows the total mileage over the lifetime of the passenger car, while the Y-axis shows the ownership costs (purchase and fuel/energy costs) accrued in total over a given mileage. The point where an ownership cost curve intersects with the Y-axis indicates the purchase costs for a given passenger car drive/fuel configuration. The gradient of the ownership cost curve reflects the fuel and energy costs for a car drive type as a function of mileage, based on European energy and fuel prices.

It should be noted that the fuel or energy costs for operating the vehicle are determined not only by the fuel prices (in €/litr or MJ) but also by the efficiency of the car drive in question. Concerning the cost per unit of energy in €/MJ, hydrogen - like electricity - is more expensive than fossil fuels today (petrol at 1.5 €/l, figure 26). However, since both fuel cell electric vehicles and battery electric vehicles are more efficient than petrol vehicles, their MJ/km consumption is lower. At European fuel...
prices, and for passenger cars of 2020 and beyond, the energy costs based on mileage (in €/km) for electric drives are lower than those for petrol vehicles. Only petrol hybrids would be able to compete with FCEVs and BEVs at today’s fuel prices; petrol only vehicles always display substantially higher running fuel costs than EV drivers.

**FCEVs versus petrol cars**

Until now, FCEVs have still not been sufficiently attractive to many consumers in cost terms because of their high purchase price in comparison to petrol and diesel cars. In the future, cars driven by an internal combustion engine will be more expensive — in part because of higher costs for drivetrain technology (such as direct injection, hybrid systems) and because of more complex exhaust emission control systems.

On the other hand, fuel cell electric vehicles will become less expensive because of technological development, learning curve effects and economies of scale in production (IEA 2015a). The hydrogen consumer prices could also fall as a result of a more efficient hydrogen supply and retail infrastructure. If the purchase price for a fuel cell electric vehicle were to fall by half, combined with a moderate reduction in hydrogen refuelling station prices, the ownership costs for an FCEV would be lower than those for a petrol car after just 50,000 to 60,000 km. With virtual cost parity, non-economic advantages, such as the possibility of (locally) emission-free driving in cities — could tip the balance in favour of purchasing an FCEV.

In addition, fossil fuels could also become (relatively) more expensive, and this would likewise increase the economic attractiveness of an FCEV as compared with a petrol car. However, a more efficient hybrid petrol car could (partially) compensate for the higher fuel prices. By contrast, lower fossil fuel prices — which prevail for example in the USA or which could be induced by lower crude oil prices in a 2°C climate action scenario (IEA 2016a) — may diminish the economic advantage of an FCEV in terms of mileage-dependent fuel costs. Because of the lower specific hydrogen consumption (in MJ/km), variations in the hydrogen retail price have less of an impact in the ownership cost comparison with internal combustion drives than changes in fossil fuel prices.

**FCEVs versus BEVs**

Battery electric vehicles currently have a head start of several years over fuel cell electric vehicles in terms of technology and market development. This lead is expressed in a greater variety of battery electric vehicles and in more established and also lower purchase prices. Furthermore, all electric drives are even more efficient than fuel cell electric vehicles.

The extent to which BEV energy costs per kilometre are higher or lower than those for FCEVs depends on the electricity prices. Depending on the purchase category – domestic electricity versus off-peak or preferential electricity tariff — the retail prices for electricity can fluctuate. High domestic electricity prices make running battery electric cars more expensive, while low electricity tariffs make it cheaper.

Overall, however, the distance-based energy costs of both electric vehicle variants are still similar, and this is reflected in similar gradients of the ownership cost curves.

If the gap in purchase costs between FCEVs and BEVs could be more or less closed, the costs for fuel cell electric vehicles and battery vehicles (per vehicle kilometre) would be very similar. Under these circumstances, because of advantages in terms of comfort, range and charging time, some potential buyers would probably be inclined to opt for fuel cell electric vehicles. Conversely, improving the range and charging performance of BEVs would increase their purchase costs, thereby reducing their economic advantage.

### IN SUMMARY

An important factor in deciding whether to buy or keep a passenger car is the overall costs involved. These include the car purchase costs, running costs, or ownership costs relating to a mileage or transport capacity.

At today’s cost structures fuel cell passenger cars are not yet competitive. But in an ambitious climate scenario involving rapid technological advances and market development, automotive fuel cell technology would quickly become much more cost-effective. In addition, production costs for hydrogen (from renewables) could fall in the medium to long term, and — with lower hydrogen infrastructure costs — it could be distributed more cheaply as a fuel.

At the same time, internal combustion drive systems are becoming more expensive, and in urban and metropolitan areas they are often subject to local usage restrictions as a result of stricter air quality regulations. As a consequence, (locally) emission-free battery electric vehicles powered by hydrogen are becoming increasingly attractive in comparison to cars with an internal combustion engine, and not on cost grounds alone.

If the gap between the purchase costs of fuel cell cars and battery electric cars can be closed as production numbers increase, fuel cell cars can also offer an alternative to (locally) emission-free battery electric vehicles. That is because, with comparable ownership costs, fuel cell electric vehicles offer further advantages over battery electric vehicles, such as greater comfort, longer range and shorter charging times. By contrast, if battery electric vehicles are improved in terms of comfort, range or charging time, they will become more expensive and will lose any economic advantages they may have over fuel cell electric vehicles.

8.1 **Stations and Vehicles**

According to a recent survey (HyARC 2017), at the beginning of 2017 there are around 280 active hydrogen refuelling stations worldwide. According to this survey, Japan has the largest number of refuelling stations, with 91, followed by the US with 61 stations the majority of which (30) are in California (CapCP 2017). In Europe, Western Europe and in particular Germany is leading with 57 stations. One fourth of the hydrogen refuelling stations are non-public (HyARC 2017).

The geographical distribution of hydrogen stations largely corresponds to the number of hydrogen-fuelled motor vehicles. Most of the approximately 4,200 fuel cell electric vehicles that were registered at the beginning of 2017 are located either in Japan or in the USA — with over 90% of the vehicles in the USA being concentrated in California. Also more than 90% of the fuel cell vehicles are passenger cars, the second biggest vehicle class being fuel cell electric buses (HyARC 2017).

While only a moderate number of new hydrogen refuelling stations were built over the last decade, the number of new stations opening each year has risen markedly in recent years. As of the beginning of 2017, a further 140 refuelling stations worldwide were in planning, under construction or in commissioning. At the same time, the start of commercialisation is leading to a growth in the fuel cell electric vehicle fleet. As a consequence, significant variations or differences in the statistics for hydrogen refuelling stations and for fuel cell electric vehicles may occur.

8.2 **Location Solutions**

A hydrogen refuelling station comprises facilities for the delivery, storage and refuelling of hydrogen. All other components of a typical refuelling station, such as refuelling points for other fuels, parking areas, sales areas, car washes, etc., have been excluded from the following consideration because they are similar to those of a conventional refuelling station. Generally, there are three different location solutions for hydrogen refuelling stations:

A hydrogen facility can be integrated into an existing refuelling station as an additional...
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8.3 REFUELLING STATION MODULES

Certain technical components are necessary for the construction of a hydrogen refuelling station. For all refuelling stations these include adequately sized storage facilities for hydrogen, compressors which bring the hydrogen to the desired gas pressure level, a precooling system, and dispensers for delivering the fuel. Refuelling stations can be set up more quickly and less expensively by standardising or modularising these components—which are also known as functional modules (FHM 2010).

Hydrogen storage tanks: The storage tanks must hold enough hydrogen to meet customer demand. This hydrogen is stored in low-pressure storage tanks, currently at between 20 and 200 bar (in future up to 500 bar), for several days. If the hydrogen is delivered by compressed hydrogen gas trailer, this can be used on sites as a low-pressure storage tank. The quantity to be stored is calculated on the basis of the number of anticipated refuelling operations per day and can be adapted with a modular expansion of the refuelling station. Medium- and high-pressure storage tanks, with pressure stages of 200 to 450 bar and 800 to 1,000 bar respectively, are used to refuel the customer vehicle. The hydrogen from the low-pressure storage tank can be transferred via a high-pressure compressor to the high-pressure storage tank. The pressure there is high enough to refuel the vehicle. Another possibility is to use a medium-pressure storage tank from where the customer’s vehicle can be filled directly until pressure balance is reached. To fill up the tank completely, refuelling can then either be continued from the high-pressure storage tank (cascade refuelling) or hydrogen from the medium-pressure storage tank can be compressed to the necessary pressure using a booster compressor.

Compressors: A number of different compressors can be used to achieve the necessary compression. Customary types are piston, compressed air, diaphragm or sonic compressors, which are selected according to the design of the refuelling station (capacity utilisation, energy consumption, cost-effectiveness, etc.). Hydrogen compression is a way of overcoming the pressure difference between storage (from 50 to 200 bar) and refuelling (up to 1,000 bar). The refuelling process should not exceed the target time of three to five minutes. Since the fuel cell in the vehicle is operated with pure hydrogen, it is important that no contamination with lubricants occurs during compression.

Precooling system: The SAE J2601 fuelling protocol, which covers hydrogen vehicle refuelling, aims to ensure that a vehicle’s hydrogen tank does not heat up above 85°C even during fast refuelling. Since hydrogen is compressed during refuelling, it heats up. Depending on ambient temperature, fuel delivery temperature and target pressure in the vehicle tank, precooling (normally) is necessary to stay in within the limits (overpressure/overheat) of the vehicle’s fuel storage system. For 700 bar refuelling hydrogen is generally precooled to –40°C (according to SAE J2601). Higher precooling temperatures are possible, but may lead to longer refuelling times.

The low temperature required is usually generated by means of a compression refrigerating machine and a suitable heat exchanger. Precooling adds complexity to the station and increases its energy consumption. Further optimisation of the process is currently an area of development.

In the case of a liquid hydrogen refuelling station, cryogenic hydrogen (LH2) is delivered and stored in a liquid tank. If the fuel cell electric vehicle is to be refuelled with gaseous hydrogen, liquid hydrogen is transferred via a liquid pump to an evaporator, from where it can be introduced directly into the vehicle without being cooled.

Dispenser: Refuelling itself is carried out using the dispenser, a device or machine to pump liquid or gaseous fuels into the vehicle. The dispenser includes the fueling nozzle, which delivers the compressed hydrogen into the vehicle’s pressure tank. It is designed for the pressure of the hydrogen tank, i.e. 350 or 700 bar. Another important element is the user interface, which contains various displays showing pressure, fill level or measured quantity.

Finally, the hydrogen can be produced either locally at the refuelling station or centrally in another location and then delivered. In the case of decentralised hydrogen production at the refuelling station, the production concept has to be decided. The options are a reformer for producing hydrogen from natural gas (or biomethane) or an electrolyser for producing hydrogen from (renewable) electricity.

8.4 STANDARDS

To ensure safe operation, a large number of technical standards must be adhered to when building and operating hydrogen refuelling stations. Work is still ongoing on hydrogen-specific codes and standards for the automotive hydrogen economy, since in some cases regulations not designed for hydrogen make building and operating refuelling stations more difficult and more expensive (HMEUV 2013). Technical standards published by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) or the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) specify concrete requirements for the hydrogen refuelling station infrastructure.

The most important technical standard is ISO 19980 (Gaseous Hydrogen Fuelling Stations). Other ISO standards are relevant for construction and also operation. For instance, the EU alternative fuels infrastructure directive (AFI-Directive) applies not only with ISO 19980 (formally ISO 2010) but also with ISO 17268 (Gaseous hydrogen land vehicle refuelling connection devices) and the hydrogen fuel quality standard ISO 14687-2 for PEM fuel cell electric vehicles (EPF/Council 2014a).

Hydrogen fuelling must take place within hydrogen storage system limits. The Worldwide Hydrogen Fueling Protocol SAE J2601 fuels all hydrogen storage systems quickly to a high state of charge (SOC) without violating the storage system operating limits of internal tank temperature or pressure. SAE J2601 was adopted as a standard in 2014. SAE J2601 (in combination with SAE J2799) is being used as a basis for fuelling light duty fuel cell electric vehicles with 350 or 700 bar worldwide. SAE J2601 defines pressure tolerances and temperature windows for safe and convenient hydrogen refuelling. Apart from light duty vehicles part 2 and 3 of SAE J2601 also cover heavy duty vehicles and forklifts.

Finally, SAE J2600 (Compressed Hydrogen Surface Vehicle Fuelling Connection Devices) and SAE J2799 (Hydrogen Surface Vehicle to Station Communications Hardware and Software) must be complied with in regard to dispenser design. While the fueling nozzle is standardised, the design of the user interface is left to the refuelling station operator. Depending on specific site-related or technological issues, other national or local standards may also apply. An overview of technical standards for the automotive hydrogen infrastructure can be found online, for example at www.hydroprod.org, www.hydroigen.de or www.fuellstandards.com.

31 CLASSES OF HYDROGEN REFUELLING STATIONS BY SIZE

FHM 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Very small</th>
<th>Small</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dispenser</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max throughput per day</td>
<td>80 kg</td>
<td>212 kg</td>
<td>420 kg</td>
<td>1,000 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max no. of refuelling per day</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max no. of FCEVs supplied per station</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hydrogen supply and distribution pathways

**Table 32 shows four typical hydrogen supply and distribution pathways. All pathways assume the purchase of compressed hydrogen at 700 bar; the dispenser is the same in all cases. Refuelling should take between three and five minutes for a full tank.**

- **Centrally produced hydrogen can be in gaseous form as CGH₂ or in liquid form as LH₂, with CGH₂ dominating.**

- **In the case of gaseous hydrogen, the pressure stage at which the vehicles are served is important.** At present, refuelling stations operate at the two pressure stages of 350 bar and 700 bar, and at the current stage of development the split is roughly equal (HyARC 2017). Around 20% of all refuelling stations currently offer both pressure stages, but the trend is towards the higher pressure stage, with the advantages of a larger volume of hydrogen stored and hence an increased vehicle range. Over 90% of refuelling operations for fuel cell electric vehicles are with gaseous hydrogen. Currently only a few refuelling stations offer both possibilities or concentrate on liquid refuelling (HyARC 2017).

- **The supply options for hydrogen (delivery as CGH₂ or LH₂ in trailers or by pipeline; on-site production) can be combined with the dispensing options (gaseous at 350 or 700 bar; liquid) and the size and design of the refuelling station (storage concepts, etc.).**

### IN SUMMARY

As of the beginning of 2017, there are 281 hydrogen refuelling stations and more than 4,000 hydrogen-powered vehicles worldwide. Hydrogen refuelling stations and fuel cell vehicle fleets are concentrated in the USA, Western Europe and Asia/Japan.

Hydrogen refuelling stations comprise the following technical components: storage tanks, compressor, pre-cooler/evaporator and dispenser. A modular structure and internationally agreed hydrogen-specific standards contribute significantly to a safe and efficient expansion of the refuelling station network.

By choosing appropriate refuelling stations sizes and refuelling station supply concepts, the hydrogen refuelling station network can be adapted to the given demand.

Building a refuelling station infrastructure requires high capital investment. In the early stages of market growth, there will be a need for synchronisation with the development of the fuel cell electric vehicle fleet and further (short-term) financial support for infrastructure expansion.

### 8.7 COSTS & FINANCING

As comprehensive an infrastructure as possible is an important factor for the acceptance and market success of a new technology – and that is also true of hydrogen and fuel cell vehicles. However, building that infrastructure requires high initial capital investment. The question is how high these investment costs will be and how a hydrogen refuelling station infrastructure can be set up as efficiently as possible.

There are a number of information problems associated with approximating refuelling station costs firstly, the costs of setting up a hydrogen refuelling station depend on the location solution, the size of the refuelling station and local conditions. For competition reasons, cost data is often confidential and is not published. Furthermore, the hydrogen stations that have been built to date have mostly been designed as one-off projects. Past building output is therefore not a reliable indicator for future construction costs in a new market development. And lastly, there are currently only a few publications on hydrogen refuelling station costs.

In-depth studies on hydrogen refuelling sta
tion costs are only available from California so far (NREL 2013c; DVT 2014; CEC/ CARB 2017). According to these studies, there is a big spread in investment costs for hydrogen refuelling stations, ranging from 1 million to 10 million USD. The costs for the most important refuelling station concepts with daily capacities of around 200 to 300 kg hydrogen are between 2 and around 3 million USD, with expenditure on fixed assets amounting to 1.5 to 2 million USD. For Germany, lower costs of around 1.0 million EUR per refuelling station are cited (Bonhoff 2016).

As a metric, capital costs based on daily dispensing capacity have emerged. In absolute terms, investment costs for smaller hydrogen refuelling stations are lower than for larger ones. However, the capacity-specific capital costs range from almost 15,000 USD per kg per day for small stations to approximately 3,000 USD per kg and day for large stations supplied with liquid hydrogen. These low capital costs are only achievable with high capacity utilisation, however. Refuelling stations on-site hydrogen electrolysis are much more expensive than centrally supplied stations – specifically, over 20,000 USD per kg and day, which is attributable to the high investment costs for the electrolyser.

---

The space required for delivering, storing and dispensing hydrogen at the refuelling station is a factor that must be considered, especially in inner city areas. Of the various supply concepts, on-site production requires the most space, since the electrolyser or reformer is located on the refuelling station premises. At the same time, the storage requirement is lower, since hydrogen can be produced to a greater extent on demand. On the other hand, optimisation work has already reduced the space needed for the hydrogen handling equipment.

When a central hydrogen facility was still housed in 400-foot containers (corresponding to 12 m), smaller containers measuring 11 feet (3.3 m) are today sufficient.
GLOBAL HYDROGEN MOBILITY INITIATIVES

Germany (H2 Mobility/CEP)
The Clean Energy Partnership (CEP) industry consortium (www.cleanenergypartnership.de) for the development of an automotive hydrogen economy in Germany was established as early as 2002. Between 2012 and 2016 the CEP undertook the task of creating a basic hydrogen supply network, with 50 refuelling stations in Germany (CEP 2016).

The operating company H2 Mobility (www.h2-mobility.de) was established in 2014. H2 Mobility is a joint venture which is engaged in building and operating a network of hydrogen refuelling stations in Germany. H2 Mobility is also sourcing the hydrogen for its entire network, where possible from renewable energies.

H2 Mobility’s plan is to set up the first 100 hydrogen stations by 2018/2019, unconditionally and irrespective of fuel cell electric vehicle numbers, preferred locations are the metropolitan areas and hydrogen corridors along the motorways. Depending on the registration figures for fuel cell vehicles, a comprehensive hydrogen infrastructure with 400 hydrogen refuelling stations in Germany should then be established by 2023.

As of the beginning of 2017 there were 35 to 40 CEP hydrogen refuelling stations operating in Germany. The CEP refuelling stations are being transferred to H2 Mobility. As a national hydrogen lighthouse project, the CEP will pursue tasks involved in developing hydrogen technology and standards.

EU/Europe (FCH JU, H2-ME, EU AFID directive)
In Europe there are a whole host of project-based, cross-border hydrogen/fuel cell initiatives – for example between European cities or for bus fleets. An important initiative is the Fuel Cells and Hydrogen Joint Undertaking (FCH JU), a partnership between the EU Commission, industry and research institutes. Its aim is to make the fuel cell and hydrogen pillars of the future European energy and transport system (www.fch.europa.eu). The second phase of the initiative (FCH JU 2) is scheduled to run until 2020, with projects running until 2024.

Moreover, the national hydrogen initiatives from Germany, Great Britain, Scandinavia and France have established a European hydrogen platform called Hydrogen Mobility Europe (www.h2me.eu). Hydrogen Mobility Europe consists of two project parts: H2-M1 and H2-M2. The goal is to develop a pan-European infrastructure of hydrogen refuelling stations and fuel cell electric vehicle fleets in a coordinated manner. Today, H2-M involves more than 40 Partners from 9 countries, drawing together their expertise from across the transport, hydrogen and energy industries. In addition, Denmark, Norway and Sweden are represented in the Scandinavian Hydrogen Highway Partner- ship SHHP (www.scandinavianhydrogen.org).

USA/California (HUSA; CaFCP)
There are a number of hydrogen initiatives in the USA, including the national-wide private-public initiative HUSA (www.h2usa.org).

The state of California is leading the way in terms of the hydrogen refuelling station infrastructure and fuel cell electric vehicle development. California is advancing the phasing-in of so-called zero-emission vehicles within the vehicle fleet, just as battery electric vehicles fuel cell electric vehicles are regarded as ZEVs.

The California Fuel Cell Partnership CaFCP (www.caftp.com) was established in 1999 under the leadership of the California Air Resources Board and the California Energy Commission. The CaFCP has already set up a number of multi-annual programmes, most recently (2012) a roadmap for the five-year period to 2017. Accordingly, over 84 refuelling stations should be available by then, corresponding to a demand of around 53,000 vehicles (CaFCP 2012). More than 30 hydrogen stations were set up in 2016, and another 20 are under construction or going through approval procedures (CaFCP 2016).

Japan (HySUT)
The governmental organisation New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organisation (NEDO) is also driving forward the development and commercialisation of hydrogen technology in Japan. The relevant hydrogen technologies include domestic use (En-EcoM) and use in power plants and transport. The Association of Hydrogen Supply and Utilisation Technology HySUT (www.hysut.or.jp/en/) was founded in 2009 under the umbrella of the NEDO. Its original aim was to promote the technical preconditions for a future hydrogen infrastructure.

Following a reorganisation in 2016, HySUT is now engaged in the commercialisation of the hydrogen supply system and of hydrogen-powered fuel cell electric vehicles. Areas of interest also include issues surrounding technology/consumer acceptance and demonstration projects. The technology and market demonstration phase was followed in 2016 by early commercialisation. This is due to be completed in 2025, with a move to full commercialisation from 2026. The number of hydrogen-powered vehicles has increased steadily. As of the beginning of 2017 there are already around 90 refuelling stations operating in Japan, and this number is scheduled to rise to 160 by 2020.

The transport sector currently (2014) consumes around 28 % of the global final energy consumption and causes 23 % of the energy-related greenhouse gas emissions (IEA 2016a). Around three-quarters of global transport emissions come from road transport. Road transport worldwide was responsible for around 5.7 gigatonnes of carbon dioxide emissions (CO2) in 2014. This corresponds to a share of 17.5 % of the energy-related CO2 emissions worldwide.

Between 1990 and 2014, road traffic-related CO2 emissions grew by 71 % worldwide, emissions from road traffic in the industrial nations (OECD) also increased over this period by 30 % (IEA 2016a). Despite measures to avoid traffic or to shift it to public transport or more environmentally sustainable modes of transport, road traffic is still increasing. Therefore, reducing the energy, especially the fuel consumption, and the environmental impact of motor vehicles is all the more important.

Overall the energy consumption and the environmental impact of motor vehicles are determined by, along with the vehicle mileage, their specific energy consumption. Fuel consumption is substantially predetermined by the choice of drive type. The drive also influences the greenhouse gas emissions and, together with the energy conversion process, the resulting air pollutant emissions.

9 ENERGY & ENVIRONMENTAL BALANCES, SCENARIOS FOR FCEVs

The specific energy consumption per km of a motor vehicle is determined by its weight and form, by environmental and driving conditions and by the efficiency of the drive type. The passenger car considered is an average passenger car in the respective region, i.e. a C segment passenger car for Europe and Japan and a mid-sized light-duty vehicle (LV) for the US. The efficiencies of different drive types vary significantly. In particular, electric drive types, both battery electric and fuel cell electric vehicles, have much higher efficiencies than internal combustion engines.

Thus, the Tank-to-Wheel (TTW) efficiencies of conventional petrol passenger cars are only about 20 %, and 30 % for hybrid petrol passenger cars. Fuel cell electric vehicles at least achieve TTW efficiencies of up to 50 %, while battery electric vehicles can reach around 70 % (Kucucay 2014). Like the vehicle ownership cost calculation, the scenario assumptions regarding the European vehicle fleet development are based on the specific fuel consumption figures from (JEC 2013), these are recorded in the New European Driving Cycle NEDC. It is assumed that consumption figures of newly registered vehicles will disseminate into the passenger car fleets in the long term. It should be noted that the JEC standard consumption figures for the 2020s and beyond assume significant improvements in efficiency. The standard specific fuel consumption figures were converted into real specific fuel consumption by using real-world fuel consumption factors (ICTC 2012).

An estimate of technological progress and associated specific efficiency improvements and greenhouse gas emission reductions for passenger cars extending beyond the 2020s to up to 2050 was provided in (AE 2012). ICE passenger cars may achieve specific fuel savings of up to 50 % by 2050, as compared to the reference technology in 2010. These specific efficiency improve- ments were applied to the JEC fuel con- sumption figures up to 2050. However, the efficiency improvements for the petrol drives from 2040 onwards is limited due to technological restrictions.

This chapter examines the energy and greenhouse gas balances for hydrogen-powered fuel cell electric vehicles (FCEV) and discusses their potential contribution to more environmentally friendly mobility. The other air pollutant and noise emissions as well as other external effects of motorised private transport are not assessed.

Firstly, the analysis considers the drive efficiencies of passenger cars of different drive types in various regions of the world (EU and USA) and resulting specific fuel consumption figures, on the basis of (JEC 2013) and (GREEN 2015). By reference to (JEC 2014) and again to (JOREF 2015), specific greenhouse gas factors for fuels are derived and used to determine the specific greenhouse gas emissions of drive types.

Simple scenario assumptions for possible FCEV fleet growth figures in the leading hydrogen regions (USA, Western Europe, Japan) are outlined, on the basis of an ambitious automotive hydrogen scenario in (IEA 2015b).

Finally, extrapolated consumption, emission and fleet data along with other assumptions are used to estimate automative hydrogen fuel consumption and potential savings of fossil fuels and greenhouse gas emissions to 2050.
The fuel consumption figures for Japan were assumed to be the same as for Europe. The specific energy consumption of US passenger cars was based on the values from [GREET 2015], which are somewhat higher than European consumption figures.

9.2 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

In order to compare the carbon dioxide emissions of passenger cars, relevant energy-related greenhouse gas factors (in g CO₂/MJ) are given in a first step compiled for hydrogen, petrol and electricity. For this purpose, the greenhouse gas emissions for the entire fuel and energy supply chains are taken into account. In a second step distance-related greenhouse gas emissions (in g CO₂/100 km) for the vehicles are calculated and discussed.

GREENHOUSE GAS FACTORS FOR TRANSPORT FUELS

Regarding greenhouse gas emissions, a distinction must be drawn between Tank-to-Well emissions (TTW), which arise from burning a fuel in the engine, and Well-to-Tank emissions (WTW), which are caused upstream by the production and supply of the fuel. Well-to-Well emissions (WWW) are used to assess the entire supply chain for the fuel including its use, i.e. from the source of primary energy to conversion into kinetically energy for propulsion.

Burning fossil energy sources produces carbon dioxide, which largely determines the greenhouse gas balance of motor vehicles with an internal combustion engine. Other greenhouse gases can also arise upstream in the supply chains of all fuel types or energy sources. The most important of these other greenhouse gases (methane, CH₄, and nitrous oxide, N₂O) are also taken into consideration in the overall greenhouse gas balances. Where reference is made to CO₂, other greenhouse gases are also included in CO₂ equivalents. The terms “greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions” and “carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions” are used synonymously below.

The specific greenhouse gas emission factors were compiled on the basis of the fuel production pathways and fuel-specific combustion factors from the last edition of the Wallis-Wheatley study by the European research platform of Joint Research Centre of the European Commission, Eucar and Concawe (JEC 2014) for Europe. The basic data from the JEC study was also taken into consideration by the European Commission in establishing typical and standard values for reducing greenhouse gas emissions for biofuels in the EU Renewable Energy Directive 28/2009/EC (EP/ Council 2009a) and the EU Fuel Quality Directive 30/2009/EC (EP/Council 2009b) as well as the associated directive 2015/625/EU (Council 2015) which lays down methods for calculating the greenhouse gas intensity of fuels and energy supplied other than biofuels and reporting by suppliers. The same greenhouse gas factors have been assumed to be valid for Japan.

For the USA, the greenhouse factors are taken from “Greennhouse gas Regulated Emissions, and Energy use in Transportation Model”, “GREET model” for short, published by the Argonne National Laboratory of the Department of Energy (DOE) of the US federal government [GREET 2015]. The GREET model is used in modelled form to establish the fuel pathways of the low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) in California (CCR 2017).

For the scenario calculations CO₂ emission factors were determined for three fuel types: petrol, as only petrol cars are considered as the internal combustion engine reference, electricity for battery electric vehicles (BEVs), and hydrogen for fuel cell electric vehicles (FCEVs).

Petrol

The WtT emission factors from the JEC study (JEC 2014) for petrol were modified in accordance with a recalculation of the greenhouse gas intensity of crude oil inputs into the EU (JCTC 2014b) and thus corresponds to the values in the above mentioned EU directive laying down calculation methods for the EU Fuel Quality Directive (Council 2015). Moreover, the WtT emission factors for petrol are assumed to be constant over the period up to 2050. Since the specific factors for petrol are standardised, unchanged CO₂ emission factors for its combustion (g CO₂/MJ) are assumed.

For all regions under consideration, petrol containing 10% bioethanol is assumed for the medium to long term. For the EU (and Japan), the bioethanol blend meets the sustainability requirements of the EU Renewable Energy Directive 28/2009/EC (EP/Council 2009a) and of the EU Fuel Quality directive 30/2009/EC (EP/Council 2009b). For petrol in the USA, the WtT emission factors for $1.00 from the GREET model (GREET 2015) apply.

Hydrogen

There are also different supply pathways for hydrogen. Today, hydrogen is primarily obtained by steam reforming of natural gas. In the medium term, a growing proportion of biogas from municipal waste is assumed, up to 10% by 2030 and dropping down again to 0% in 2050. In the longer term, hydrogen generation by electrolysis on the basis of surplus renewable electricity is gaining ground, reaching 100% by 2050, to enable greenhouse gas emissions to fall by 80% as compared with 1990. The calculation of the greenhouse gas emission factors of the hydrogen mix over time is based on the emission factors from JEC (2014) for the individual supply pathways. For the USA, the corresponding supply pathways from (GREET 2015) are used.

GREET model (GREET 2015) apply.

9.3 SCENARIOS FOR FCEVs

In addition to the 450 scenario in its World Energy Outlook, the International Energy Agency in its Energy Technology Perspectives (ETP) has developed a second technology-oriented 2DS scenario, which likewise describes how the 2°C goal can be achieved. The 450 scenario sets a scenario for the world’s energy system in which greenhouse gas emissions are reduced by 80% in 2050 compared to 1990 levels. The 2DS scenario describes how the 2°C goal can be achieved with a very high degree of confidence. The 450 scenario sets a scenario for the world’s energy system in which greenhouse gas emissions are reduced by 80% in 2050 compared to 1990 levels. The 2DS scenario describes how the 2°C goal can be achieved with a very high degree of confidence.
In the 2DS scenario, the IEA expects hydrogen and fuel cell technology to contribute substantially to the energy and climate goals. In the transport sector, the fuel cell drive can contribute significantly to reducing the greenhouse gas emissions of motorised private transport. Depending on the way in which the hydrogen is produced, and especially if it is produced from renewable energy sources, a WtW consideration the greenhouse gas emissions of a fuel cell electric passenger car can be reduced by more than 90% as compared with a petrol engine vehicle.

The IEA has published a “technology roadmap” for hydrogen and fuel cell electric vehicles (IEA 2013b), which is based on the 2DS scenario and, in a variant of that scenario, examines how an accelerated introduction of hydrogen technologies can contribute to achieving the 2°C goal (2DS high H). For the transport sector in the USA, Japan and the four major Western European markets of Germany, France, Great Britain and Italy (EU4), the 2DS high H scenario describes an increase in the number of FCEVs to well over 100 million vehicles by 2050. This assumes a rapid market expansion for fuel cell electric vehicles in the three automotive regions. According to this scenario, 1 million new FCEVs will have to be registered in both the USA and EU4 by 2030 in order to achieve the 2DS goals. In total, there would then be almost 10 million new FCEVs in the three market regions in 2050. As a comparison, approx. 74 million new passenger cars are registered each year worldwide at present (VDA 2016). In 2050 the annual number of newly registered passenger cars would be expected to be over 100 million cars.

Figures 35 and 36 show the development of the FCEV fleets in the three regions in question. The biggest absolute rise, to almost 60 million FCEVs in 2050, takes place in the USA. Around 35 million fuel cell vehicles are anticipated in the four European countries (EU4) and some 20 million in Japan in 2050. In total, around 11 million fuel cell passenger cars will be registered in 2030 and around 113 million in 2050.

As a comparison, there are currently over 1 billion passenger cars worldwide (VDA 2016), and the number is expected to reach around 2 billion vehicles by 2050. The energy demand of FCEVs can be calculated by multiplying annual mileage with specific energy consumption figures. The typical annual mileages differ substantially in the markets in question. For the USA, a mileage of approximately 18,000 km/year is assumed, for Europe approximately 13,000 km/year and for the densely populated Japan 9,000 km/year. These average annual mileages are assumed for all vehicles considered, independent of their drive type. Moreover, annual mileages are assumed to remain constant until 2050. The calculated annual hydrogen demand for the number of vehicles according to the 2DS high H scenario is shown in figure 37.

While the hydrogen demand in Japan develops moderately, it rises significantly for EU4 and especially for the USA. In total it rises to 1 min t in 2030, to a little over 5 min t in 2040 and to 10 min t in 2050. This corresponds to an energy equivalent of around 1,200 petajoules or to the energy content of 27 min t of petrol. If in addition the much higher efficiency of fuel cell vehicles in comparison to petrol drives is also taken into account, the use of fuel cell electric vehicles can lead to savings of up to 38 min t of petrol compared to hybridised petrol vehicles and up to 68 min t compared to a pure petrol drive.

If this hydrogen is to be obtained by electrolysis from renewable electricity, the requirements for hydrogen production are as follows: for USA this would mean a wind and solar electricity requirement of over 330 TWh. For EU4 the figure would still be more than 100 TWh and for Japan more than 40 TWh. For the purposes of comparison, (IEA 2016b) anticipates in the 450 scenario a reduced electricity production in 2040, compared with today’s figures, of 4.752 TWh for the USA, 3.314 TWh for the EU and 864 TWh for Japan.

With a growing proportion of hydrogen from renewable sources by 2050, the rise in fuel cell electric vehicles offers a significant greenhouse gas savings potential in the vehicle fleet. Assuming that the FCEVs will primarily replace cars with a petrol drive, this suggests a WW greenhouse gas savings potential of 2015: some 1,200 TWh of PET compared to 1,600 TWh in Japan. If all cars are replaced by FCEVs, the WW greenhouse gas savings potential will rise to 4.5 mln t per year and a TtW greenhouse gas savings potential of over 180 mln t per year in the three regions of interest in 2050 (figure 38).

This savings potential is broken down across the three regions as follows: in the USA WW savings of up to 125 mln t CO₂ per year and TtW savings of up to 130 mln t CO₂ are possible. In EU4 WW savings of up to 49 mln t CO₂ and TtW savings of up to 45 mln t CO₂ are possible, and finally in Japan WW savings of up to 18 mln t CO₂ and TtW savings of up to 14 mln t CO₂ are achievable. Taken over the entire period up to 2050, savings of over 1.5 gigatonnes WW and around 1.6 gigatonnes TtW are possible in the three regions, as compared with petrol alternatives.

As compared with lower WtT emissions from battery electric vehicles (BEVs), FCEVs save 100% of TtW emissions. For that reason in the cumulative consideration up to 2050 this proportion is larger than the WtW savings, in which, taken as a whole, higher WtT emissions from FCEVs compare with lower WtT emissions from petrol drives.

The emission reductions achieved in the 2DS high H scenario can be ranked by reference to the total traffic-related greenhouse gas emissions of the New Policies Scenario (NPS) developed by the International Energy Agency (IEA 2016b) for 2014. This scenario is the “baseline scenario” in IEA (2016b) and describes the development of the energy sector based on the confirmed climate action commitments. Hydrogen generated from renewable energy sources (like wind or biogas) produces very low specific greenhouse gas emissions. Coupled with the more efficient drive of FCEVs, this results in up to 90% lower distance-related greenhouse gas emissions as compared to internal combustion engine vehicles running on fossil fuels. Depending on the supply chain, FCEVs produce slightly higher/lower specific greenhouse gas emissions than battery electric vehicles (BEVs).

An ambitious 2DS high H scenario developed by the International Energy Agency, in line with the climate action goal of limiting the global temperature rise to 2°C, projects that the number of fuel cell vehicles in three key markets (USA, selected European passenger car markets and Japan) will increase to some 113 mln units by 2050. This is based on new FCEV registrations rising to 1 mln each in the USA and EU in 2030 and to around 10 mln in all the regions in question in 2050.

Based on these FCEV fleet scenarios, a hydrogen consumption of around 10 mln t hydrogen (per year) has been estimated for the 113 mln FCEVs in 2050. If efficient petrol cars are superseded by FCEVs, this will lead to savings – depending on the petrol vehicle type (hybrid or petrol-only) – of 38 to 68 mln t of petrol and over 190 mln t of traffic-related CO₂ emissions in 2050. In summary
HYDROGEN – ENERGY OF THE FUTURE

Over the years Shell has published a number of scenario studies on key energy issues. These have included studies on important energy consumption sectors such as passenger cars and commercial vehicles (buses and trucks), and the supply of energy to private households, as well as studies on the state of and prospects for individual energy sources and fuels, including biofuels, natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas.

Hydrogen is an element that receives significant attention. As an energy source, hydrogen has long been considered a possible basis for a sustainable energy future. But it cannot be viewed in isolation, since it is both in competition with and interdependent on other energies and the technologies that use them. The question is whether hydrogen can be an important energy carrier of the future.

Shell has been involved in hydrogen production as well as in hydrogen research, development and application for decades, with a dedicated business unit, Shell Hydrogen. Now, in cooperation with the German research institute and think-tank Wuppertal Institute, Shell has conducted a study on hydrogen as a future energy carrier.

The Shell Hydrogen Study looks at the current state of hydrogen supply pathways and application technologies and explores the potential and prospects for hydrogen as an energy source. The study also examines non-energy uses and non-automotive applications, but its focus is, as indicated by its subtitle Sustainable Mobility Through Fuel Cells and H₂, on the use of hydrogen in road transport and specifically in fuel cell electric vehicles.

The higher the storage density, the greater the amount of energy needed for cooling and compression, which is why more efficient storage methods are being explored. Unlike electricity, hydrogen can be successfully stored in large amounts for extended periods. Low-pressure underground storage facilities such as caverns can be filled with hydrogen from surplus renewable electricity and used as buffer stores for the electricity sector. As yet, however, there are very few underground hydrogen storage facilities in use.

Novel storage media are materials-based hydrogen storage technologies. These include metal hydrides, chemical hydrogen storage materials (such as liquid organic hydrogen carriers) or sorbents (such as metallic organic frameworks, zeolites and carbon nanotubes). Most of these technologies are still at the research and development stage. At present, hydrogen is generally transported by lorry in pressurised gas tanks, and in some cases also in cryogenic liquid tanks. However, each lorry trailer can only carry around 0.5 to 1.1 ton of gaseous hydrogen or up to 41 of liquid hydrogen.

Regional hydrogen pipelines are available in some locations, the longest being in the USA and Western Europe. In the long term, the natural gas supply infrastructure (pipelines and underground storage facilities) could also be used for the storage and transportation of hydrogen. In terms of transport costs, liquid hydrogen is suitable for long-distance transport, compressed gaseous hydrogen is suitable for shorter distances in smaller amounts, while pipelines are advantageous for large volumes.

Industry are ammonia synthesis, primarily used for the production of nitrogenous fertilisers, and methanol synthesis. Also, hydrogen is a by-product of crude oil refining in refineries, in particular catalytic reforming of naphthas, on the other hand it is used for the processing and refining of oil products in refineries – in processes such as hydrocracking and hydroprocessing. For example, Energy applications involve converting the energy contained in hydrogen to heat, power or electricity. However, hydrogen is now rarely used as an energy source in heat engines. For energy applications, fuel cells have become the main focus of hydrogen usage. Fuel cells offer much higher electrical efficiency and overall efficiency than heat engines. The fuel cell principle was discovered back in the 19th century: with a continuous supply of fuel, chemical energy from surplus renewable power is seen as offering huge potential for the future.

Alkaline electrolysis has been used in the industry for more than a century. Alternative methods include partial oxidation, autothermal reforming and electrolysis based on renewable electricity with electrolysis. The costs of biomass-based hydrogen production are between natural gas reforming and electrolysis.

In the future, decentralised natural gas reforming, centralised electrolysis and centralised biomass routes are expected to offer the greatest cost-saving potential.

The Shell Hydrogen Study looks at the current state of hydrogen production technologies and explores the potential and prospects for hydrogen as an energy source. The study also examines non-energy uses and non-automotive applications, but its focus is, as indicated by its subtitle Sustainable Mobility Through Fuel Cells and H₂, on the use of hydrogen in road transport and specifically in fuel cell electric vehicles.

THE ELEMENT HYDROGEN

Hydrogen was the first element created after the Big Bang. It is the most common substance in the universe and the richest energy source for stars like the sun. Hydrogen (H) is the first element in the periodic table of chemistry and is also the smallest, lightest atom. Pure hydrogen occurs on Earth only in molecular form (H₂). Hydrogen on Earth is usually found in compounds, most notably as water molecules (H₂O).

First discovered in the 18th century, hydrogen was originally known as “inflammable air.” By the 19th century, hydrogen was featuring in contemporary visions of the future, especially in relation to the energy industry and locomotion. In the 1960s and 1970s, space travel and the increasing scarcity of resources further intensified the aura of excitement surrounding hydrogen.

Since the 1990s the interest in hydrogen has been boosted by the growing urgency to find sustainable energy sources. More recently, the focus has been on hydrogen’s role in an increasingly electricity-based energy economy.

Owing to its special physical properties, hydrogen is an almost permanent gas, since it only liquefies at very low temperatures (below -253°C). It has a low density, so it is usually stored under pressure. Liquefaction increases its density by a factor of 800. The most characteristic property of hydrogen is its flammability. It also has by far the highest gravimetric energy density of all energy sources in use today. Due to its chemical properties, hydrogen has to be handled with care.

Supply Pathways

Since hydrogen usually exists on Earth as part of a compound, it has to be synthesised in specific processes in order to be used as a material or energy source. This can be achieved by different technical methods, and various primary energy sources – both fossil and renewable fuels, in solid, liquid or gaseous form – can be used in these technical production processes.

The most important primary energy source for hydrogen production currently is natural gas, at 70%, followed by oil, coal and electricity (as a secondary energy source). Steam reforming (from natural gas) is the most commonly used method for hydrogen production. Other production methods include partial oxidation, autothermal reforming and gasification, which generally use fossil primary energy sources. Some unused residual hydrogen is available for use as energy for byproduct of industrial production processes.

To date, only small amounts of hydrogen have been generated from renewable energies, although that amount is set to increase in future. Electrolysis currently accounts for around 5% of global hydrogen production, but most of this is still based on conventional electricity sources. Electrolysis methods include partial oxidation, autothermal reforming and gasification, which generally use fossil primary energy sources. Some unused residual hydrogen is available for use as energy for byproduct of industrial production processes.

The specific physical and chemical properties of hydrogen lead to higher logistics costs (storage and transportation) than for other energy carriers. Hydrogen has a very low volumetric energy density, which means that it has to be compressed for storage and transportation purposes.

Commercially most important is the hydrogen storage as compressed gas for end users, high-pressure storage tanks of varying size (350, 700 bar) are available. A higher density for storage can be achieved by liquefaction, although this involves cooling the hydrogen to -253°C.

Applications

Hydrogen is a highly versatile basic chemical with two main areas of use: material applications and energy applications. The most important material applications in industry are ammonia synthesis, primarily used for the production of nitrogenous fertilisers, and methanol synthesis. Also, hydrogen is a by-product of crude oil refining in refineries, in particular catalytic reforming of naphthas, on the other hand it is used for the processing and refining of oil products in refineries – in processes such as hydrocracking and hydroprocessing, for example. Energy applications involve converting the energy contained in hydrogen to heat, power or electricity. However, hydrogen is now rarely used as an energy source in heat engines. For energy applications, fuel cells have become the main focus of hydrogen usage. Fuel cells offer much higher electrical efficiency and overall efficiency than heat engines. The fuel cell principle was discovered back in the 19th century: with a continuous supply of fuel, chemical energy...
is converted directly into electrical energy. As the reverse process of electrolysis, H₂ and oxygen are recombined into H₂O, producing DC electricity at the same time.

Fuel cells have seen some major technical advances, especially in recent years. The many different cell types now available are distinguished in terms of the electrolytes (ionic conductors) they use and their operating temperature. Low-temperature cells allow for a dynamic load response, while high temperature cells favour continuous loads and are more resistant to fluctuations in fuel quality. In addition, after external or internal reforming, some fuel cell types can also use other fuels containing hydrogen (such as natural gas or methanol).

The world market is currently dominated by low-temperature polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEMFC), which because of their power density, flexibility and cost reduction potential are most suitable for mobility applications. A parallel development is the solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC), which is used for continuous domestic energy supply and in power plants. The catalysts used on the electrodes of the fuel cells have a huge influence on system costs and performance. Work on cost savings and more affordable catalyst materials is ongoing.

Stationary fuel cells are an important driver of global market development for fuel cell systems, in terms of both numbers and installed capacity.

Fuel cells are increasingly being used as an alternative to generators and rechargeable batteries as a backup power supply, in the form of either emergency generator sets or uninterruptible power supplies (UPS). Since fuel cells generate electricity and heat, their use in combined heat and power (CHP) units for electricity and heating supply in the power plant sector and the building sector is increasing.

Micro-CHP fuel cell systems, due to their high overall efficiency, are a promising new option for energy-efficient domestic energy supply. Fuel cells for domestic energy supply generally run on natural gas (with additional external or internal reforming).

The first commercial micro-CHP units based on PEMFC and SOFC fuel cells are now available for the construction resp. building sector. Major demonstration projects and market launch programmes for domestic fuel cell systems have already been introduced in Germany, Europe and Japan, under the names “Callux”, “ene.field” and “Ene-Farm”. By the end of 2016, almost 200,000 micro-CHP units had been installed in Japan under the Ene-Farm programme, by 2030 the Japanese government wants to have installed 5.3 million CHP units.

The economic efficiency of fuel cell micro-CHP or mini-CHP units in the building sector is dependent on the respective electricity and natural gas retail prices. The wider take-up of CHP technology in buildings will require (in the short term) further temporary funding to develop the market.

### MOBILITY APPLICATIONS

Stationary fuel cells are an important driver of global market development for fuel cell systems, in terms of both numbers and installed capacity.

Fuel cells are increasingly being used as an alternative to generators and rechargeable batteries as a backup power supply, in the form of either emergency generator sets or uninterruptible power supplies (UPS). Since fuel cells generate electricity and heat, their use in combined heat and power (CHP) units for electricity and heating supply in the power plant sector and the building sector is increasing.

Micro-CHP fuel cell systems, due to their high overall efficiency, are a promising new option for energy-efficient domestic energy supply. Fuel cells for domestic energy supply generally run on natural gas (with additional external or internal reforming).

The first commercial micro-CHP units based on PEMFC and SOFC fuel cells are now available for the construction resp. building sector. Major demonstration projects and market launch programmes for domestic fuel cell systems have already been introduced in Germany, Europe and Japan, under the names “Callux”, “ene.field” and “Ene-Farm”. By the end of 2016, almost 200,000 micro-CHP units had been installed in Japan under the Ene-Farm programme, by 2030 the Japanese government wants to have installed 5.3 million CHP units.

The economic efficiency of fuel cell micro-CHP or mini-CHP units in the building sector is dependent on the respective electricity and natural gas retail prices. The wider take-up of CHP technology in buildings will require (in the short term) further temporary funding to develop the market.

### VEHICLE OWNERSHIP COSTS

One of the most important considerations when it comes to buying or keeping a vehicle is economic in nature: the ownership costs involved. These include the purchase cost, ongoing costs for fuel, or costs relating to mileage or transport infrastructure. Once the costs for vehicles with different drive-trains are sufficiently similar, other, non-economic decision factors come into play.

Under existing purchase and fuel cost structures, fuel cell passenger cars are not yet competitive. But an ambitious climate action scenario evolving rapid technological advances and effects of scale in production and the consequent advances in fuel cell technology would already become much more cost-effective. In addition, production costs for H₂ could fall in the medium-long term, and this combined with lower technology and infrastructure costs would allow hydrogen to be distributed and marketed more cheaply as a fuel.

At the same time, vehicles with internal combustion drives are becoming more expensive, mainly due to more complex exhaust gas treatment. In urban and metropolitan areas in particular IC engine vehicles are increasingly subject to local usage restrictions as a result of stricter rules on air quality and tailpipe emissions. As a consequence, (locally) emission-free fuel cell passenger cars powered by hydrogen are becoming increasingly attractive in comparison to passenger cars with internal combustion engines, and not cost grounds alone.

Once the gap between the purchase cost of fuel cell passenger cars and battery electric vehicles closes with increasing production numbers, fuel cell passenger cars offer a real alternative to (locally) emission-free battery electric vehicles. That is because, with comparable ownership costs, fuel cell electric vehicles also offer additional advantages over battery electric vehicles, such as greater comfort, longer range and shorter charging times. By contrast, if battery electric vehicles were to be improved in terms of comfort, range or charging time, they would become more expensive and would lose their economic advantage over fuel cell electric vehicles.

### INFRATELLING STATION INFRASTRUCTURE

Hydrogen refuelling stations comprise the following technical components: Hydrogen storage tank, compressor, precleaner/evaporator and dispenser. Until now, hydrogen refuelling stations have mostly been custom-made. Manufacturing costs can be reduced by the modularisation of technical components and by series production. Furthermore, internationally agreed, hydrogen-specific standards will contribute significantly to a safe and efficient expansion of the refuelling station network. Substantial potential for cost reduction in the order of 50% over the coming years is anticipated, thanks to regulatory and technical standardisation and effects of scale. Absolute and specific refuelling station infrastructure costs differ, depending on

### ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT: SCENARIOS FOR FUEL CELL ELECTRIC VEHICLES

Transport is an important energy consumption and emission sector. Worldwide, road traffic was responsible for around 5.7 gigatonnes of CO₂ emissions in 2014, and CO₂ emissions from road vehicles rose by 71% between 1990 and 2014. Given that road traffic worldwide is still increasing, despite measures to avoid traffic or to shift it to public transport or more environmentally sustainable modes of transport, reducing the energy consumption and negative environmental impacts of motorised vehicles is all the more important.

Hydrogen-powered fuel cell electric vehicles (FCEVs) are much more efficient than passenger cars propelled by an internal combustion engine. So FCEVs can make an important contribution to the diversification of energy supply and to energy savings in motorised road transport.

If hydrogen is generated from renewable energy sources, its specific greenhouse gas emissions over the entire supply chain are very low. Coupled with the more efficient drivetrain, this results in significantly lower distance-related greenhouse gas emissions
as compared with internal combustion engine vehicles running on fossil fuels. Depending on the hydrogen supply path way, FCEVs produce (slightly) higher/ lower, but essentially similar, specific greenhouse gas emissions as compared with battery electric vehicles. An ambitious “205 hig H₂” scenario developed by the International Energy Agency in line with the climate action goal of limiting the global temperature rise to 2°C, assumes that the number of fuel cell electric vehicles in three key markets (USA, selected European markets and Japan) will increase to some 113 million units by 2050. This is based on a projected increase in annual FCEV registrations in the EU and USA to 1 million by 2030, rising to a total of 10 million new registrations per year in the three regions in question by 2050.

POLICY ASKS FOR THE HYDROGEN ECONOMY

Hydrogen as an energy source and fuel cells as energy converters have an important part to play in the energy transition and in achieving the climate policy goal of limiting the global temperature rise to 2°C. Hydrogen production and application technologies have made significant progress in recent years. Nevertheless, hydrogen and fuel cells are both still in their infancy in terms of achieving broad commercial use in the global energy system. As such, they require further support and funding from the government and from society as a whole.

What actions and measures are needed to enable hydrogen and fuel cells to finally become one of the pillars of a sustainable energy system in the future? Below we set out ten key requirements across the entire hydrogen supply and use chain that may help to improve the overall conditions for a future hydrogen economy. Each of these measures is important in its own right, but ideally they should be regarded as a coherent bundle of measures, in which the individual steps build on each other – or, even better, are coordinated with measures for other sectors.

1 MANUFACTURING
The continued development of hydrogen production methods based on electrolysis and low-emission reforming must be supported by, among other things, a tailor-made R&D policy focusing on manufacturing costs, efficiency, and flexibility of use. Financial incentives will be necessary, especially for the market entry phase, to boost the production of green hydrogen, either by electrolysis based on electricity from renewable energies or by biogas reforming.

2 STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION
In the long term, large-scale storage and transportation options for hydrogen must be (further) improved. One aspect of this is the storage of hydrogen produced from surplus renewable electricity in bulk storage tanks (caverns). In addition, more pre-commercial basic research is needed in the area of storage media (hydrides, liquid and sorbent storage systems). Finally, the hydrogen transport infrastructure (such as liquid hydrogen transport as well as hydrogen pipelines) must be developed as appropriate.

3 FUEL CELLS
The further development of mobile and stationary fuel cells as the most important energy technologies for hydrogen use must be supported, in terms of manufacturing costs and efficiency as well as long-term stability, through technologically diverse R&D funding.

4 STATIONARY APPLICATIONS
Backup systems for emergency and uninterruptible power supplies, micro-CHP fuel cell systems for domestic energy supply and cogeneration power plants still need further technology funding, despite significant advances in recent years. At least short-term financial and/or regulatory support is needed as they are brought onto the market.

5 MOBILITY APPLICATIONS
Fuel cell electric vehicles are electric vehicles. The purchase of fuel cell passenger cars and buses should be temporarily supported through public procurement programmes, direct financial incentives or a privileged status for vehicles (e.g., exemptions from bans on entering certain urban areas). The development of alternative technologies in areas with a very limited portfolio of mature, sustainable technologies (e.g. lorries, rail, ships, aircraft) requires further R&D funding.

6 INFRASTRUCTURE / REFUELLING STATIONS
The expansion of hydrogen refuelling stations, in particular in the introductory phase when utilisation is low, requires the financial burden and risk to be shared. State funding for infrastructure should play a part in this. The hydrogen infrastructure should be expanded in a coordinated manner as appropriate, with the long-term goal of nation-wide coverage.

7 STANDARDS
Hydrogen must be safe, easy and efficient to handle. Therefore there is a need for uniform, international, hydrogen-specific technical standards for plants and equipment and for hydrogen as a product.

8 ENERGY SOURCES AND FUEL
The use of green hydrogen requires the creation of appropriate incentive systems – for example by offsetting it against the EU greenhouse gas reduction target for fuels or by expanding capacity markets for storage and demand-side management measures. Regarding hydrogen production from electricity, a level playing field needs to be established with other options such as power storage or Power-to-X schemes. Electrolysis should not be additionally burdened with duties, since electrolysers are not end consumers of (renewable) electricity. Existing differences in treatment at a product level must be eliminated.

9 TECHNOLOGY ACCEPTANCE
Hydrogen is not (yet) a familiar product among and users. Rather, for the vast majority of consumers, hydrogen and the technologies that use it are still new. Novel energy technologies require openness, a willingness to learn and familiarisation on the part of future users. Therefore, education and the dissemination of relevant technological information are vital if such technologies are to gain acceptance among users and in society as a whole. This requires appropriate communication strategies and formats for building experience and commitment.

10 SYNERGY
To speed up the establishment of a global hydrogen economy, synergies need to be created – through cooperation between cities, regions and states and with relevant economic operators. Linking up the production and consumption sectors is also important, as is a comprehensive coordination of innovative technologies like battery systems and fuel cells.
Hydrogen is an element that receives significant attention: As an energy carrier, hydrogen is considered to be the basis for a sustainable energy future. But hydrogen is not alone, since it competes with other energies and their application technologies. The question arises as to what extent hydrogen can or will play a leading role in the global energy system of the future. Shell has already been active for decades in hydrogen research and development. In cooperation with the Wuppertal Institute, Shell has now conducted an energy carrier study, which addresses the current status as well as the long-term prospects of the use of hydrogen, in particular for energy and transport purposes. The Shell Hydrogen Study discusses firstly the (natural) occurrence, properties, and historical perspectives of the element hydrogen. It then examines current as well as future technologies/processes and source materials for the production of hydrogen, and it compares the energy requirements, greenhouse gas emissions and supply costs of the different production pathways. Furthermore, hydrogen logistics is investigated. That includes, on the one hand, current and future storage methods, and on the other, the various transport options and their respective benefits, including questions of transport economy. This is followed by a description of the different potential options for the use of hydrogen. A distinction is made between the use in materials or for energy purposes/as an energy carrier. The analysis of hydrogen use for energy purposes focuses on the fuel cell – and not on the use in heat engines resp. combustion engines. On the user side, stationary energy applications for back-up electricity production as well as domestic energy supply – including their economics – are looked into. The main focus of the study is on mobile hydrogen applications. For this purpose, the technological status and prospects of mobile applications – from aerospace, via material handling, through to the passenger car – are discussed. This is followed by an analysis of the economics of hydrogen-powered fuel-cell cars based on a simplified car cost comparison. Subsequently, the construction of a hydrogen retail station infrastructure for road transport is discussed. Finally, the possible effects of fuel-cell cars on fuel consumption and greenhouse gas emissions in selected regions up to 2050 are discussed. This analysis is based on the ambitious 2DS hydrogen scenario from the International Energy Agency.